HIGH COURT OF SINDH, CIRCUIT COURT, HYDERABAD

C.P. No.D- 102 of 2026

[Amir Azam vs. Federation of Pakistan and Others]

Before:
JUSTICE ADNAN-UL-KARIM MEMON
JUSTICE MUHAMMAD JAFFER RAZA

Petitioner:	By Mr. Sajjad Ahmed Chandio, Advocate
Respondents:	By Mr. Ghulam Abbas Sangi, Assistant Attorney General for Pakistan along with Habeel Solangi, Assistant Superintendent on behalf of PMG, Hyderabad.
Date of hearing	03.11.2026
Date of Decision	03.11.2026

O R D E R

***

ADNAN-UL-KARIM MEMON J:- The petitioner, Amir Azam, has filed the instant Constitutional Petition under Article 199 of the Constitution, challenging the inquiry order dated 24.12.2025, the consequential charge-sheet and notices issued against him, alleging that the proceedings are without lawful authority, mala fide and discriminatory.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner merely acted upon the recommendations of the Departmental Selection Committee while making appointments against vacant posts and that no personal misconduct, fraud or procedural irregularity is attributable to him. He further contends that the inquiry is tainted with bias, the petitioner has been singled out despite similar appointments across the country, and the recruitment process had already been examined by this Court in its order dated 27.11.2025 passed in C.P. No.D-424 of 2025 and connected matters. He prayed to allow this petition.

3. Conversely, learned AAG submits that the inquiry was lawfully initiated by the competent authority under the Civil Servants (Efficiency and Discipline) Rules, 2020, and relies upon the judgment reported as 2006 SCMR 678 to support the departmental proceedings. He prayed to dismiss the petition against the disciplinary proceedings.

4. We have heard learned counsel and perused the record with their assistance.

5. Tentatively, in view of the earlier findings of this Court regarding the recruitment process, wherein the appointments were found to be merit-based and supported by valid NOCs and competitive selection, the petitioner's case requires

reconsideration by the competent authority in that line, as on the subject issue decision has already been made.

6. Accordingly, without touching the merits of the case, the petition is disposed of with directions to the competent authority to re-examine the matter in the light of the aforesaid findings, after hearing all concerned, and pass a speaking order within three weeks. Meanwhile, no coercive action shall be taken against the petitioner until conclusion of the disciplinary proceedings.

A copy of this order be communicated to the concerned authorities for compliance.

JUDGE

JUDGE

Karar_Hussain/PS*