ORDER SHEET

IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH AT KARACHI

S.C.R.A. No. 1148 of 2015

DATE	ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF JUDGE(S)

1. For order on CMA No. 3093/19 (U/R 50)
2. For hearing of main case.

18.11.2026.

Mr. Muhammad Khalil Dogar, advocate for the applicant.
Mr. Pervaiz Iqbal Kasi, advocate for the respondent.

Per learned counsel for the applicant, the impugned judgment is entirely perfunctory in nature and is devoid of due discussion and / or deliberation of the *lis* therebefore. Learned counsel adverts to the impugned order to demonstrate that five (5) paragraphs of the reproduction lead to an unqualified conclusion being drawn in the subsequent paragraph without any appreciation of the law and facts etc. learned counsel for the respondent remains unable to displace the foregoing.

The Appellate Tribunal is the last fact finding forum in the statutory hierarchy, therefore, it is incumbent to render independent deliberations and findings on each issue. The manner in which the appeals in general are to be addressed has been emphasized by the Supreme Court in the judgment reported as 2019 SCMR 1726. This High Court has consistently maintained that the Appellate Tribunal is required to proffer independent reasons and findings, and in the absence thereof a perfunctory order could not be sustained. Reliance is placed on the judgment dated 02.10.2024 in SCRA 1113 of 2023 and judgment dated 27.08.2024 in SCRA 757 of 2015. Earlier Division Bench judgments have also maintained that if the impugned order is discrepant in the manner as aforesaid, the correct course is to remand the matter for adjudication afresh. Reliance is placed on the judgment dated 10.12.2024 in ITRA 343 of 2024.

We are of the considered view that the impugned judgment could not be considered to be a speaking order and is *prima facie* devoid of relevant discussion and deliberation. The entire order is crowned with a dissonant conclusion. Therefore, no case is set forth to sustain the impugned judgment and the same is hereby *set aside*; the matter is remanded back to the Appellate Tribunal for adjudication afresh per the law.

A copy of this order may be sent under the seal of this Court and the signature of the Registrar to the learned Customs Appellate Tribunal, as required per section 196(10) of the Customs Act, 1969.

Judge

Judge

Ayaz p.s.