IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH, BENCH AT SUKKUR

Crl. Bail Application No. S- 866 of 2026

Applicants:	**1.** Ghulam Jaffar alias Jaffer son of Muhammad Mehrnai 2. Mohabat Ali alias Mohabat son of Muhammad Urs bycaste Mehrani, t**hrough Mr. Gulshan Ahmed Shujjrah, Advocate.**
Complainant:	**Through Mr. Aftab Hussain Shar,.**
The State	**Through** Mr. Mansoor Ahmed Shaikh, Deputy Prosecutor General, Sindh.

O R D E R.
16.11.2026.

Suresh Kumar, J.--- Applicants named above seeks confirmation of the ad-interim pre-arrest bail granted to them by this Court vide order dated 08.09.2026, in a case bearing crime No. 88/2026, offence u/s 376, 511 PPC registered at Police Station Sorah District Khairpur Mir's

2. The brief facts of the case, as depicted in the FIR, are that the accused persons mentioned in the FIR attempted to commit zina with the complainant. She filed a petition and, after obtaining an order, went to the police station and lodged the FIR.

3. I have heard the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the applicants/accused, learned counsel for complainant and learned DPG for State. Learned DPG and counsel for complainant opposed for confirmation of interim pre-arrest bail.

4. Having given due consideration to the arguments advanced by the counsel for the respective parties and having perused the relevant material, it reveals from the perusal of the FIR that the alleged incident took place on 14.04.2026, while the FIR was lodged on 08.06.2026. Thus, there is a delay

of more than two months in lodging the FIR, for which no plausible explanation has been furnished. There is only an allegation of an attempt to commit zina, and the record further reveals that the Investigating Officer did not record the statement of any independent person. The learned counsel for the applicants states that there is a dispute between the parties over children. The above cumulative facts call for further inquiry as provided in Section 497(2) Cr.P.C.

5. For the foregoing reasons and the guidance taken from the above case laws, I am of the considered view that the applicants/accused have successfully made out a case for the grant of pre-arrest bail. Therefore, the interim pre-arrest bail already granted to the applicants is hereby confirmed on the same terms and conditions, with a direction to appear before the trial court.

6. Needless to mention that the above observations are tentative in nature and will not prejudice the case of parties at the time of trial.

J U D G E

Irfan