IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH, BENCH AT SUKKUR

Crl. Bail Application No. S- 980 of 2026

Applicants:	Sohib Ali son of Muhammad Sobal Narejo **through Mr. Ali Akber Narejo, Advocate.**
Complainant:	**Through** Mr. Ali Dad Narejo, Advocate
The State	**Through** Mr. Shafi Muhammad Mahar, Deputy Prosecutor General, Sindh.

Date of hearing & Order: 16.11-2026.

O R D E R.

Suresh Kumar, J --- Through instant bail application, Applicant named above seek post arrest bail in a case bearing crime No. 14/2026, offence u/s 302, 324, 148, 149 PPC registered at Police Station Faiz Muhammad Narejo, District Khairpur.

2. The brief facts of the case, as depicted in the FIR, are that on 09.07.2026 complainant along with his father Qadir Bux , his brother Sohrab, cousin Reshma , Nusrat were going to Kot Mir Muhammad city when reached near shop of Sohrab, at 3.30 p.m they saw and identified Raheem bux,2. Wahid Bux ,3. Abdul Sattar, 4. Abdul Jabbar, with K.Ks 5.Naimatullah 6.Nadeem Ali, 7. Waseem 8. Khalil 9.Farman, 10. Asadullah,11. Suhib Ali, 12. Hafeezullah having G-3 rifles while coming accused issued threats, then accused Raheem Bux, Nadeem Ali, Waseem Ali, Khalil made direct firing upon his brother Sohrab, he raised cries and fell down, then accused Naimatullah made fire upon his father Qadir Bux , he raised cries , on fire shots people came running, then they saw Sohrab sustained fire shot injuries , one fire hit to father Qadir Bux on right side of chest then complainant went to P.S and lodged FIR.

3. The applicant initially sought post arrest bail from the Court of the learned Additional Sessions Judge-I /MCTC Khairpur, which was

dismissed vide order dated 15.09.2026. Aggrieved by this dismissal, the applicant has approached this Court.

4. I have heard the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the applicant/accused and the learned Deputy Prosecutor General for the State who opposed for grant of post arrest bail.

5. Having given due consideration to the arguments advanced by the counsel for the parties and having perused the material available on record, it is evident that no any specific role of causing injury is attributed to applicant as he was allegedly present with G-3 rifle but he did not fire, therefore case against applicant requires further enquiry. In this regard reliance is placed upon case of Muhammad Irfan v. State (2014 SCMR 1347).

6. Moreover, present FIR is counter version of FIR No.13/2026 registered by the complainant party, therefore, it is yet to be determined that which party is aggressor. In the case of Gul Nawab vs. State (2022 SCMR 547) has held that when there are two versions of occurrence, it squarely invites the provision of section 497(2) Cr.P.C calling further probe into occurrence, which is apparent in this case. Even otherwise co-accused Wahid Bux ,Abdul Jabbar and Abdul Sattar have already been granted pre-arrest bail and the case of present applicant is at par with them, therefore, present applicant/accused is also entitled for grant of post arrest bail .

6. In view of above facts and circumstances, I am of the considered view that bail application of applicant Sohib Ali Narejo is allowed, and he is granted bail subject to furnishing a surety in the sum of Rs. 300,000/- (three lacs) and a PR bond in the like amount to the satisfaction of the trial court.

7. Needless to say that above observations are tentative in nature and will not prejudice the case of either party.

J U D G E

Irfan