HIGH COURT OF SINDH, CIRCUIT COURT, HYDERABAD

C.P. No.D-2203 of 2026

[Shrimati Madho & another Versus Province of Sindh & others]

Before:
Justice Adnan-Ul-Karim Memon
Justice Muhammad Jaffer Raza

Petitioners:	Through Mr. Khan Muhammad Lashari, advocate.
Official Respondents:	By Mr. Allah Bachayo Soomro, Additional Advocate General, Sindh. SIP Fayyaz Zaman PS Pabban.
Date of hearing	10.11.2026
Date of Order	10.11.2026

O R D E R

***

ADNAN-UL-KARIM MEMON, J:- The petitioners have filed the instant Constitutional Petition under Article 199 of the Constitution, seeking quashment of FIR No.407/2026, registered under Section 365-B PPC at Police Station Pabban/Husri, Hyderabad, and protection against arrest, harassment and threats allegedly extended by the private respondents and police officials.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that petitioner No.1, being a sui juris woman, contracted marriage with petitioner No.2 on 22.10.2026 of her own free will and consent, without any coercion or inducement. It is contended that the private respondents, being aggrieved by the marriage, have threatened the petitioners with dire consequences, including murder in the name of Karo Kari, and have falsely implicated petitioner No.2 and his relatives in the aforesaid FIR to harass and pressurize them. The petitioners claim that their fundamental rights to life, liberty, dignity and freedom of movement are being violated and seek protection in accordance with law.

3. Petitioner No.1 Shrimati Madho is present and her statement has been recorded by the I.O. in which she has stated that she being *sui juris* has contracted marriage with petitioner No.2 Kelash out of her free will and choice but against the wishes of her relatives, as such, her brother has lodged subject FIR on the allegation that the petitioner No.2 has abducted her sister for the purpose of marriage which is false and fabricated.

4. In view of above, I.O. submits that he would dispose of the case under “C” class and submit such report before the concerned Magistrate who shall pass an appropriate order in accordance with the statement of lady petitioner.

5. Learned AAG submits that no harassment will be caused to the petitioners and they will be dealt in accordance with law.

6. Learned counsel for petitioners seeks disposal of this petition in the above terms.

7. Accordingly, this petition is disposed of with direction to I.O. to provide protection to the petitioners in accordance with law and submit his report under Section 173 CrPC before the concerned Magistrate for disposal of the case under “C” Class as per statement of lady petitioner accordingly.

J U D G E

J U D G E

Irfan Ali