**ORDER SHEET**
**IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH AT KARACHI**

Const. Petition No. D-1948 of 2018
(Muhammad Anwar Vs. SBCA & others)

| Date | Order with signature of Judge |
|---|---|

**Before:-**
**Mr. Justice Riazat Ali Sahar**
**Mr. Justice Muhammad Hasan (Akber)**

1. For hearing of Misc. No.8526/2018.
2. For hearing of Main Case.

**12.11.2026**

M/s. Dhani Bux Lashari & Ghulam Akbar Lashari, Advocates for Respondent/SBCA.

_____

**RIAZAT ALI SAHAR, J**. This is an old one matter pertaining to the year 2018, which was lastly fixed before this Court on 22.03.2018 and since inception the Petitioner has not made any efforts to have the matter listed/fixed before this Court. Today, neither the Petitioner nor his counsel is in attendance. A perusal of the case diary sheets reflects that the instant Petition was filed by the Petitioner being resident of the area where, Respondent No.3 being owner of the Plot No.34-A/281 Adamjee Naar, Block-B Kathiawar Cooperative Housing Society Ltd., Karachi is illegally raising construction over there in violation of the applicable building regulations and permissible construction limits. It is further contended that without an approved building plan or requisite NOC from the Sindh Building Control Authority (SBCA) the construction has been raised. Learned counsel further submits that the unauthorized construction, coupled with the obstruction of the public street by construction materials and heavy machinery, constitutes a public nuisance and poses a threat to public safety. It is contended that the failure of the official respondents to take appropriate enforcement action amounts to a breach of their statutory duties and endangers the fundamental right to life guaranteed under Article 9 of the Constitution. Accordingly, learned counsel seeks appropriate directions for enforcement of the applicable building laws and removal of the unauthorized construction in accordance with law.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the Sindh Building Control Authority (SBCA), submits that the Petitioner may avail the Smart

Complaint Redressal Mechanism (SCRM) of the SBCA for redressal of its grievance.

Since the Petitioner or his counsel are not in attendance; therefore, in view of the subject controversy, we dispose of the present Petition with the observation that the Petitioner is set at liberty to approach the Director General, SBCA, through the SCRM, who shall ensure that the complaint is decided in accordance with law and the applicable SOPs, after affording an opportunity of hearing to all concerned, within sixty (60) days from the date of receipt of the complaint.

In the above terms, the instant Petition stands disposed of, along with the listed/pending application(s), if any. Let this order be transmitted to the Director General, SBCA, for information and necessary compliance.

**JUDGE**

**JUDGE**

M. Khan