HIGH COURT OF SINDH, CIRCUIT COURT, MIRPURKHAS

C.P No.D-930 of 2026

[Ashique Hussain & others *v.* Province of Sindh and others]

Before:

Justice Zulfiqar Ali Sangi
Justice Nisar Ahmed Bhanbhro

Petitioners by	:	Mr. Shah Nawaz Brohi advocate.
Respondents by	:	Mr. Muhammad Sharif Solangi, Assistant A.G Sindh.
Date of Hearing	:	17.11.2026
Date of Order	:	17.11.2026

O R D E R

Nisar Ahmed Bhanbhro J:- Through this petition, the petitioners claim regularization in service. The case of the petitioners is that they were appointed as Chowkidars and Operators in the Public Health Department in the year 2020 and they have been working against permanent positions since then, but discriminated with malafide intents.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that petitioners are working against permanent posts and case for regularization is made out.

3. During the proceedings, petitioner No.3 Mohab Ali, petitioner No.5, Faheem-U-Din, petitioner No.6 Ali Hassan, petitioner No.7, Wali Muhammad and petitioner No.8 Muhammad Kashif filed affidavits and withdrawn from the case.

4. The respondent No. 6 present in Court states that the petitioners were appointed on contingent/Daily wages basis and after their appointment, some of the petitioners did not attend their duties; therefore, their engagements on contingent basis were withdrawn. He further submits that the petitioners were

working temporarily and as such, there were no permanent vacancies. Learned A.A.G. also supported the contention of respondent No.6.

5. Heard arguments and perused the material available on record. Scanning of the record reveals that the petitioners were appointed on contingent basis for a period of three months and such period was extended from time to time. The petitioners accepted their appointments and now claim regularization of service which in the absence of any statutory provision under the law cannot be done. When confronted as to how petitioners can claim regularization, defense counsel failed to satisfy the court about any provision of law, serve petitioner cause. Therefore, the petition fails and is accordingly dismissed. However, the temporary arrangements of the petitioners may continue, subject to their presence on duties.

JUDGE

JUDGE

Chander Kumar