

IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH, BENCH AT SUKKUR

Crl. Bail Application No. S- 73 of 2026

Applicant: Mansoor son of Ghulam Ali bycaste Mahar through Mr. Ali Gohar Shar, Advocate.

The State **Through** Mr. Mansoor Ahmed Shaikh, Deputy Prosecutor General, Sindh.

Date of hearing & Order: 16-09-2026.

ORDER.

Suresh Kumar, J ---Applicant named above seeks post arrest bail in a case bearing crime No. 367/2025, offence u/s 302, 114, 34 PPC registered at Police Station 'A' Section Sukkur.

2. The brief facts of the case are that on 22.05.2025 at 6.00 p.m, applicant along with co-accused came at the scene of offence and co-accused Fida, Shahnawaz and Shabir caused fire arm injuries to deceased engineer (son of complainant) whereas role of ineffective firing has been assigned to applicants. During investigation applicant was arrested but no incriminating material has been recovered from his possession.

3. The applicant initially sought pre-arrest bail from the Court of the learned Additional Sessions Judge Daharki, which was dismissed via order dated 10.12.2025. Aggrieved by this dismissal, the applicant has approached this Court.

4. I have heard the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the applicant/accused and the learned Deputy Prosecutor General for the State so also complainant and witness present, they have raised no objection for grant of bail. From perusal of FIR it reveals that applicant/accused Mansoor reached at the hosue of complainant while driving motorcycle, the deceased was sitting between accused Mansoor and Ghulam Ali , the accused thrown the deceased Rani at the door of complainant where compaliannt saw that his daughter Rani was dead. Record further reveals that co-accused Ghulam Ali has already been granted baail by trial Court vide order dated 14.11.2025 and case of present applicant is almost on same footing, therefore, applicant is also entitled for bail.

5. Moreover no one had seen present accused while committing murder of deceased but there is aonly allegation with prosecution regarding extra judicial confession which is weak type of evidence. Moreover complainant Rehmatullah and P.W Deedar Ali are present in Court who have filed their affidavits wherein they have raised no objection for grant of bail to applicant/accused Mansoor.

6. For the foregoing reasons, I am of the considered view that the applicant/accused has successfully made out a case for the grant of post-arrest bail, therefore, he is granted bail subject to furnishing solvent surety in the sum of Rs. 200,000/- (Two lacs) and PR bond in the like amount to the satisfaction of trial court.

7. The bail application is disposed of in the above terms.

J U D G E