

HIGH COURT OF SINDH, CIRCUIT HYDERABAD

Crl. Bail Application No. S-682 of 2026

Applicant: Muhammad Suleman Zounr s/o Mumtaz Ali
Through Mr.Sajjad Ali Zounr, Advocate

Complainant: Ahmed Ali Mughal s/o Qurban Ali
Through Mr.Zainuddin Baloch, Advocate

The State: Through Ms.Rameshan Oad, D.P.G.

Date of Hearing: **10-08-2026**

Date of Order: **10-08-2026**

ORDER

ABDUL MOBEEN LAKHO-J, Through this Bail Application, the applicants sought pre-arrest bail in Crime No. 114 of 2026 registered at Police Station B-Section, Tando Muhammad Khan, under Sections 448, 454, 506-II, 35 PPC and Sections 3/4 of the Lawyer Welfare Protection Act, 2023.

2. Record reflects that vide order dated 06.07.2026, the interim pre-arrest bail granted earlier was recalled to the extent of applicant/accused Ramesh Kumar on account of his absence and notice was issued to his surety.

3. Today, learned counsel for applicant/accused states that the matter between the parties has been amicably resolved. In support thereof, the complainant Ahmed Ali has filed a No Objection Affidavit stating that, owing to intervention of respectable persons of the locality, the dispute has been settled outside the Court, no grievance remains against the accused and he has no objection if bail is granted to the accused.

4. I have examined the record and considered the submissions made by learned counsel for the parties. The complainant's affidavit clearly demonstrates that he no longer opposes the grant of bail to the applicant and that the dispute between the parties stands resolved. At this stage, no useful purpose would be served by recalling the concession of pre-arrest bail already extended to applicant/accused Muhammad Suleman Zounr.

5. Accordingly, the interim pre-arrest bail granted to applicant/accused Muhammad Suleman Zounr vide order dated 02.06.2026, is

hereby confirmed on the same terms. The present order shall, however, be confined only to applicant/accused Muhammad Suleman Zounr, as the bail of applicant/accused Ramesh Kumar already stands recalled vide order dated 06.07.2026.

6. So far as bail application to the extent of applicant/accused Ramesh Kumar is concerned, his interim pre-arrest bail already stands recalled vide order dated 06.07.2026. The case to his extent shall remain fixed for surety proceedings and notice to his surety be repeated.

JUDGE

AHSAN K. ABRO