

IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH KARACHI

Cr. Bail Application No.1995 of 2026

Applicant : Shahzaib son of Naeem-ul-Hasan
Through M/s Abdul Basit Buriro, Abdul
Wahab Buriro and Mehboob A.Zardari
Advocates

Respondent : The State
Through Mr. Qamaruddin Nohri DPG

Date of hearing : 20.08.2026
Date of order : 20.08.2026

ORDER

MIRAN MUHAMMAD SHAH, J:- Through this bail application, the applicant/accused Shahzaib son of Naeem-ul-Hasan, seeks post-arrest bail in Crime No.769/2025, registered at Police Station Landhi, Karachi, under sections 392, 397 and 34 PPC. His earlier bail application was dismissed by the Court of learned Additional Sessions Judge-IV, Karachi East, vide order dated 25.05.2026.

2. The facts of the case need not to be reproduced herein, as the copy of FIR is attached with the bail application and the facts are also stated in detail therein.

3. I have heard learned counsel for the applicant as well as learned DPG for the State and perused the record.

4. In this matter, admittedly, the FIR is blind in nature, as the name and identity of the applicant do not appear in the FIR. However, despite the fact that the identity of the offender was an important part of the prosecution case, no identification parade was conducted to establish that the applicant was the same person who had allegedly committed the offence. This becomes more significant when the complainant, who is present before the Court, has categorically stated that he had never seen the applicant in connection with the occurrence. It is further noticed that no weapon, robbed property, or any

other incriminating article has been recovered from the possession or at the instance of the applicant. At this stage, these circumstances create a serious doubt regarding the involvement of the applicant. This Court has, on several times drawn the attention of the Inspector General of Police, Sindh, towards the need for proper supervision of the police and prevention of false implication of innocent persons. However, similar matters continue to come before the Court, which shows that the directions issued from time to time have not produced the desired result. The Court is particularly concerned with cases where innocent persons are subsequently implicated in blind FIRs without identification, recovery, or any other independent material connecting them with the offence, while the actual offenders are left free perhaps after taking illegal gratification. The Inspector General of Police, Sindh, is therefore expected to take these observations seriously and ensure effective supervision of police particularly in cases arising out of blind FIRs. If such practice continues despite repeated observations of this Court, the Inspector General of Police, Sindh, may be required to appear personally and defend the attitude of the police as well as prosecution.

5. In the present case, the implication of the applicant, in the absence of an identification parade, recovery, or any other independent incriminating material, appears doubtful. The matter, therefore, requires further inquiry. At the bail stage, this Court is only required to make a tentative assessment of the available material and is not required to conduct a detailed appreciation of evidence. In view of the above circumstances, the case of the applicant clearly falls within the ambit of further inquiry as contemplated under Section 497(2), Cr.P.C.

6. Accordingly, the present applicant is admitted to post-arrest bail, subject to furnishing a solvent surety in the sum of Rs.100,000/- (Rupees One

Hundred Thousand only) and P.R. bond in the like amount to the satisfaction of the learned trial Court.

7. Needless to mention here that the observations made herein above are tentative in nature and would not influence the trial court while deciding the case of the applicant on merits.

The instant criminal bail application stands disposed of.

JUDGE

Suleman Khan/PA

