

IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH AT KARACHI

Criminal Bail Application No.2185 of 2026

Applicant : Qudratullah son of Zafarullah,
through Mr. Muhammad Sharif Dars, Advocate

Respondent : The State
Through Mr. Muhammad Noonari, Deputy P.G.
Sindh.

Date of hearing : 27.08.2026

Date of order : 27.08.2026

ORDER

KHALID HUSSAIN SHAHANI, J. – Through the instant Criminal Bail Application, the applicant Qudratullah seeks post-arrest bail in Crime No.1196 of 2025, registered under Sections 397/34 PPC at Police Station Ferozabad, Karachi.

2. The genesis of the prosecution's case, as narrated in the F.I.R., is that on 11.12.2025, at approximately 0320 hours, the complainant, Hassan son of Aijaz Ali, was proceeding homeward in the company of his maternal uncles, Aamir Ali and Abdul Basit, when two unidentified assailants, mounted upon a motorcycle and brandishing firearms, waylaid the complainant party near the Char Hai Jail Chorangi Bridge, Shahrah-e-Faisal, Karachi. The assailants, at gunpoint, divested the complainant and his companions of their mobile phones, a laptop, cash, and sundry other articles, before decamping from the site of occurrence. The instant F.I.R. was consequently lodged against persons unknown to the complainant.

3. Learned counsel for the applicant and the learned Deputy Prosecutor General for the State have been heard at length. The latter has raised objections to the admission of the applicant to the concession of bail, opposing the application on behalf of the State.

4. It is a matter of record, and indeed undisputed, that the name of the applicant does not figure in the F.I.R., nor has any identification parade been conducted before a Court of competent jurisdiction to connect him with the alleged occurrence, notwithstanding the prosecution's assertion that the applicant stood arrested in some other, unconnected case prior to the registration of the instant crime. It further merits emphasis that a co-accused, namely Muhammad Imran, facing identical or substantially similar allegations, has already been extended the concession of bail by

this Court vide order dated 18.05.2026. In view of this parity of circumstances, and applying the well-settled rule of consistency that like cases must be treated alike, the applicant is equally entitled to the same benefit, absent any distinguishing feature justifying a departure. Accordingly, the applicant is admitted to bail, subject to his furnishing a solvent surety in the sum of Rs.50,000/- (Rupees Fifty Thousand only), together with a P.R. Bond in the like amount, to the satisfaction of the learned Trial Court.

J U D G E