HIGH COURT OF SINDH CIRCUIT COURT, HYDERABAD

C.P No.S-538 of 2026

[Imran vs. Province and others]

Petitioner:	Through Mr. Jahanzeb Ali Dahri advocate
Official respondents:	Through Mr. Allah Bachayo Soomro, Additional A.G Sindh a/w SIP Noor Muhammad
Private respondents	Through Mr. Tanveer Ahmed Awan advocate
Date of hearing	29.10.2026
Date of Decision	29.10.2026

O R D E R

***

ADNAN-UL-KARIM MEMON J:- Through this petition filed under Article 199 of the Constitution of Islamic Republic of Pakistan 1973 the petitioners seeks directions for respondents No.2 and 3 for providing them legal protection.

2. Counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner an advocate by profession. He maintains that there is disputed over landed property between petitioner and private respondents and on account of said dispute the private respondents earlier attacked upon the petitioner, therefore, FIR bearing No.72 of 2026 under Section 324, 109, 337-H(ii), 506(2), 504 and 34 at P.S Halani District Naushahro Feroze was lodged against the private respondents. He alleges that on account of registration of said FIR the private respondents are causing undue harassment to the petitioner, whereas police authorities have failed to provide legal protection to the petitioner.

3. Counsel for the private respondents has denied the allegations and submits that private respondents have filed F.C Suit No.38 of 2026 before the competent Court for settlement of civil dispute, due to which it is the petitioner who is causing undue harassment to the private respondents and their family members by filing various frivolous litigation.

4. Learned Additional A.G Sindh submits that official respondents will act strictly in accordance with law and will also provide legal protection to the petitioner as and when warranted under the law. Counsel for the petitioner expressed satisfaction and seeks disposal of the petition in terms of the statement of learned Additional A.G Sindh.

5. In view of the above, the instant petition is disposed of with directions to respondent police authorities to act strictly in accordance with law and provide legal protection to the petitioner as and when warranted under the law. The police authorities are restrained from interfering in the civil dispute between the parties;

however, at the same time they are directed to take strict action in accordance with law, in case any individual tries to take the law in his hands.

JUDGE