

ORDER SHEET
IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH, CIRCUIT COURT, HYDERABAD.

Criminal Revision Application No.S-135 of 2026

DATE	ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF JUDGE
1. For orders on urgent application 2. For orders on office objections. 3. For orders on exemption application 4. For hearing of main case.	
30.10.2026.	

Mr. Rizwan Akhtar Khanzada, Advocate for applicant.
Ms. Sana Memon, Assistant Prosecutor General.

1. Granted.
3. Granted subject to all just exceptions.
- 2&4. By mistake, the learned counsel has filed this Criminal Revision Application. Let it be treated as Criminal Miscellaneous Application.

 An order dated 22.10.2026, passed by the learned Ex-Officio Justice of the Peace / IV-Additional Sessions Judge, Hyderabad, has been assailed through the instant Criminal Miscellaneous Application, whereby the request of the applicant for recording his statement by the police was declined, though protection was ordered to be provided to him.

 The law provides that any person having information regarding the commission of a cognizable offence may furnish such information to the police station having territorial jurisdiction. I have asked learned A.P.G., who is present in Court in other matters and has waived notice of this application, to refer to any provision of law or judicial precedent empowering the Court to restrain a person from furnishing such information to the police. Learned A.P.G concedes that no such provision of law or judicial precedent exists.

 Given the above, this Criminal Miscellaneous Application is disposed of by modifying the impugned order dated 22.10.2026, to the extent that the request of the applicant for recording his statement is allowed. Consequently, the police officer concerned is directed to record the applicant's statement and independently examine the

information so furnished before proceeding in accordance with the relevant provisions of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1898, and the applicable Police Rules, which provide the necessary guidance for dealing with such matters in accordance with law. It is clarified that the direction to record the applicant's statement shall not, by itself, be construed as a mandatory direction to register an F.I.R. The police officer concerned shall determine, in the light of the information received and the relevant provisions of law, whether registration of an F.I.R. is warranted and shall take appropriate action accordingly.

JUDGE

Ali Haider