

ORDER SHEET
THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH, CIRCUIT COURT HYDERABAD
Crl. Misc: Application No.S-177 of 2026

DATE	ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF JUDGE(S)
	1. For orders on office objection (s) 2. For orders on M.A No.2783/2026 (Exemption) 3. For hearing of main case

28.10.2026

None present on behalf of the applicant and no intimation has been received. The record shows that instant application was presented on 02.04.2026, since then none have appeared on behalf of the applicant to pursue the lis. However, I have perused the impugned order dated 26.03.2026, passed by the learned 1st Additional Sessions Judge/Ex-Officio Justice of Peace, Tando Allahyar, who while dismissing the Crl. Misc: application under Sections 22-A and B Cr.P.C has held as follows:

- “4. From the perusal of record it transpires that the dispute between the parties is purely of civil nature regarding the recovery of outstanding amount of the buffalo allegedly purchased by the applicant from the private proposed accused. In order to get the same resolved the parties have to approach the competent forum provided under the law. The record further shows that there is no substance in the allegations of applicant against the official respondents and the application appears to have filed by the applicant to create pressure upon the private proposed accused so that they may not demand their outstanding amount from the applicant.
5. For the forgoing reasons, I see no substance in the application which is hereby dismissed accordingly.”

On the applicant's own showing he purchased a buffalo from the respondent No.3 for Rs.430,000/-, paid Rs.23,000/- in advance and cleared the balance late, after which a further sum of Rs.100,000/- was demanded and, he says, the respondent No.6 detained him for an hour and took Rs.29,150/- from him. The enquiry of the DSP Complaint Cell found that the applicant had bought four buffaloes on 25.10.2025 for Rs.430,000/-, paid Rs.200,000/- and defaulted in the balance of Rs.230,000/- and that the allegation against the respondent No.6 was baseless.

On either version the grievance is confined to the balance price of cattle, a matter of civil account and not a cognizable offence and the learned Justice of Peace rightly declined to direct registration of an FIR for it. His order is a reasoned one, suffering from no illegality,

and this Court under Section 561-A Cr.P.C does not sit in appeal over it. The applicant, who sought an FIR against four persons below, now drops the very seller from whom he claims to have bought the buffalo, which speaks for itself.

For the forgoing reasons, the application is accordingly **dismissed** in ***limine***, along with the listed application.

JUDGE

AHSAN K. ABRO