

ORDER SHEET  
IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH, KARACHI  
C. P. No. D-7063 of 2026

| Date | Order with signature of Judge |
|------|-------------------------------|
|------|-------------------------------|

FRESH CASE.  
1. For orders on Misc. No.28125/2026.  
2. For orders on Office Objection No.22.  
3. For orders on Misc. No.28126/2026.  
4. For orders on Misc. No.28127/2026.  
5. For hearing of main case.

27.10.2026.

Mr. Ahmed Nawaz Osmani, Advocate for the Petitioner.

----

1.     Granted.

2-5.   The Petition has been brought on the multiple scores, with it being alleged that clearance of seven (7) Consignments sought to be imported by the Petitioner under GD No.KPAF-HC-18558 dated 18.09.2025 and GD. Nos.KPAF-HC-19108,   KPAF-HC-19109,   KPAF-HC-19110,   KPAF-HC-19259, KPAF-HC-19662 and KPAF-HC-19260, all dated 22.09.2025, has been wrongly held-up by the Customs Department and that the Petitioner’s Sales Tax Registration has also been blocked. Directions have thus been sought for release of that consignment and for restoration of the Sales Tax Registration. Over and above that, an ongoing enquiry relating to the Petitioner has also been impugned as having been undertaken with mala fide intent, with it being sought that the Respondents be restrained from initiating any proceedings pursuant thereto or otherwise engaging in harassment. As it transpires, a perusal of the documents annexed with the Petition reflects that the consignments in question have been subjected to proceedings where Orders-in-Original have been made, confiscating items as having been imported without the necessary NOC

from the Pakistan Telecommunication Authority. On query posed to Counsel for the Petitioner as to whether any Appeal had been preferred against such Orders, he stated that the same had been filed, but was unable to point out any reference to such proceedings in the pleadings or any relevant documents annexed therewith. Needless to say, if any such Appeals have been filed, the Petitioner ought to pursue the same and the appellate remedy cannot be bypassed through recourse to the Constitutional Jurisdiction of this Court. Similarly, the Appellate remedy is available under Section 21 of the Sales Tax Act, 1990 in the matter of suspension/blocking of the Sales Tax Registration. Under the circumstances, the Petition is found to be misconceived and stands dismissed accordingly, alongwith all pending Miscellaneous Applications.

JUDGE

JUDGE

MUBASHIR