

ORDER SHEET
IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH, KARACHI

Cr. Bail Appl.No. 2700 of 2026

Date	Order with signature of the Judge
------	-----------------------------------

Present: **Mr. Justice Muhammad Iqbal Kalhoro.**
Mr. Justice Jawad Akber Sarwana.

Abdul Nasir Vs. The State

27.10.2026.

Ms. Farzana Mateen, Advocate for applicant
Mr. Ali Haider Saleem, Addl. P.G.
Rana Khalid Khan, Special Prosecutor Pakistan Rangers.

O R D E R

=

MUHAMMAD IQBAL KALHORO J: Allegedly, on 04.02.2026 at 06:30 pm complainant and PW Akhtar Hussain, who belong to Rangers, were on intelligence duty, when they received information about sale of narcotics and illegal weapons. In order to confirm the same, when they reached near Kari Bacha, Pathan Colony, Karachi, they were picked up by six armed persons on gunpoint on three motorcycles and taken to some unknown place and confined, wherefrom they managed to make their escape good during night hours and informed the superiors. Hence, FIR was registered U/s 147,148,149, 353, 324, 365, 342, 186, 337 A(i) A(ii) PPC r/w section 7 ATA of P.S. SITE-A Keamari

2. Applicant was arrested on 06.02.2026. On 14.02.2026 applicant was put to identification parade before the relevant Magistrate where he was picked up by complainant and PW Akhtar Hussain to be the person on whose Dera they were confined.

3. Learned counsel for applicant has pleaded for bail on the rule of consistency stating that all the co-accused have been granted bail by the trial court after recording of evidence leading to new developments. She further submits that bail of co-accused Noor ul Haq was dismissed by this court on merits, but after evidence, he has been granted bail by the trial court; the role attributed to the applicant is that his place was used where alleged abductees were kept. But the co-accused who are alleged to have kidnapped the abductees have been granted bail.

4. On the other hand, learned counsel for Pakistan Rangers has opposed bail so also learned Addl. P.G. but he does not deny that rule of consistency seems applicable.

5. We have considered submissions in the light of arguments and material. Record reflects that all the co-accused have been granted bail by the trial court when after the evidence of the witnesses some discrepancies prima facie making the case as one of further inquiry have come on record. The same have been noted by the trial court while granting bail to the co-accused. The case of the applicant seems to be on better footings than the ones who have been granted bail. Hence, the rule of consistency is applicable. We allow this application and grant bail to the applicant subject to furnishing a solvent surety in the sum of Rs.100,000/- and P.R bond in the same amount to the satisfaction of the trial court.

The Cr. Bail Application is disposed of.

The observations made hereinabove are tentative in nature and would not prejudice case of either party at trial.

JUDGE

JUDGE

A.K