

IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH, KARACHI

Cr. Bail Application No.3421 of 2026

Present:

Mr. Justice Muhammad Iqbal Kalhoro

Mr. Justice Jawad Akbar Sarwana

Applicant:- Andlib Arif through Raja Nazir Ahmed,
advocate.

Respondent:- The State through Mr. Musharraf Azhar,
Special Prosecutor, ANF.

Date of hearing:- 26.10.2026

O R D E R

=====

MUHAMMAD IQBAL KALHORO J: Applicant was arrested on spy information from Departure Gate of Jinnah International Airport by Anti-Narcotics Force on 02.08.2026 along with her companion namely Muhammad Husnain, when from her bag 526 grams of ice was recovered. Hence, both were booked in this case.

2. In the investigation, her mobile phone was examined, and as per challan, the content regarding her chats with the other people has been retrieved which *prima facie* show her contact with the drug barons, and exact place of delivery of the ice in Bahrain.

3. Learned counsel for applicant has relied upon 2026 PCrLJ 85, 2025 SCMR1657, 2024 SCMR 934, 2025 SCMR 721, 2026 SCMR 217 and 2026 PLJ CRC (Note) 218 plead for bail. In addition, he has stated that applicant is a domestic lady and has been falsely implicated when she could not pay the demanded amount of Rs.500,000/- to the IO. Further that there is no private witness in this case, nor video recording of the incident.

4. On the other hand, learned Special Prosecutor ANF has opposed bail citing her active connection with the drug dealers residing abroad.

He has relied upon 2026 SCMR 1697 to say that at bail stage non-recording of video is not fatal.

5. We have considered the case of the applicant in the light of arguments and material. The case has recently been registered against her only on 02.08.2026 barely two months ago. She was arrested from the Airport when she was to board a flight bound for Bahrain. *Prima facie*, there is no material showing that ANF, a Federal Agency, has any ill-will or motive to falsely implicate the applicant and arrest her from the airport. Examination of her mobile phone has *prima facie* confirmed her conscious knowledge of the ice recovered from her and connection with the other people involved in the said episode. In presence of such *prima facie* evidence, as above, we do not find the applicant entitled to concession of bail, at this stage when her case is yet to unfold.

6. The case-law relied upon by learned counsel for applicant are distinguishable in many respects and cannot be considered in favour of the applicant. Consequently, this bail application is dismissed and the trial Court is, however, directed to expedite her trial in accordance with law.

The Bail Application is disposed of accordingly. The observations herein above are tentative in nature and shall not affect the case of either party on merits before the trial Court.

JUDGE

JUDGE

HANIF