

HIGH COURT OF SINDH, CIRCUIT COURT, HYDERABAD

C.P. No.D-1746 of 2026

[Mukhtiar Ali vs. Province of Sindh and others]

Before:

JUSTICE ADNAN-UL-KARIM MEMON

JUSTICE MUHAMMAD JAFFER RAZA

Petitioner:	By Mr. Badal Gahoti, Advocate
Respondents:	By Mr. Rafique Ahmed Dahri, Additional A.G Sindh a/w Manzoor Ali, SHO, P.S Rahuki; Inspector Noor Shahbaz; Inspector Faheem Hussain; and SHO of P.S. Budhani, SIP Muhammad Ibrahim
Date of hearing	20.10.2026
Date of Decision	20.10.2026

O R D E R

ADNAN-UL-KARIM MEMON J:- The petitioner prays that the respondents be directed to provide protection to him, his brother Asad Ali, their family members and property; appoint an impartial DSP-rank officer or constitute a JIT to inquire into the registration of multiple FIRs against his brother and, if found malicious or false, take appropriate action for their quashment in accordance with law; and restrain the respondents from registering further FIRs against his brother at the instance of Respondent No.10 or his associates.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that petitioner's brother Asad Ali, entered into a construction agreement dated 27.05.2024 with respondent No.10 for construction of a bungalow at New Hyderabad City, Hyderabad, for Rs.17,500,000/-. Upon completion of the agreed work, respondent No.10 issued two cheques amounting to Rs.2,300,000/- and Rs.6,500,000/-, which were dishonoured due to insufficient funds. Consequently, Asad Ali lodged FIR No.87/2026 under Section 489-F PPC at Police Station Cantonment, Hyderabad, against respondent No.10. The counsel alleges that, following respondent No.10's obtaining interim pre-arrest bail in the said case, he misused his political influence and connivance with the police to implicate Asad Ali in multiple false and malicious criminal cases, including FIR No.3/2026 under Sections 380 and 381 PPC at Police Station Rahoki, in which Asad Ali was arrested and confined in Central Prison, Hyderabad. He further alleges that respondent No.10 has been threatening the petitioner and his family with further false cases unless the cheque-dishonour proceedings are withdrawn. He maintains that these acts are motivated by personal grudge and enmity, endangering the life, liberty and security

of his brother and family and violating their fundamental rights under Articles 4, 9, 14, 15, 24 and 25 of the Constitution. As Asad Ali is in custody, the petitioner has approached this Court seeking protection for himself, his brother, their family and property; an impartial inquiry through a senior police officer or JIT into the alleged false cases; and directions restraining the respondents from registering further cases at the instance of respondent No.10.

3. Learned AAG submits that an impartial inquiry into the FIRs registered at Police Stations Rahuki, Budhani and Bhitai Nagar has been initiated under the supervision of DSP/SDPO Baldia, Hyderabad, vide order dated 24.09.2026, to ascertain the facts and fix responsibility, if any. Regarding FIR No. 295/2026 registered at Police Station Sujawal under Sections 4, 5 and 8 of the SPM Act, it is submitted that the petitioner's brother, Asad Ali Aresor, was formally arrested while already confined in jail, following the disclosure of co-accused, and the challan has been submitted before the competent court, where the case is pending adjudication.

4. Having heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned AAG, and having examined the available record, it appears that allegations of mala fide registration of multiple FIRs and misuse of police authority have been raised, whereas the respondents claim that an impartial inquiry into certain FIRs has already been initiated under the supervision of DSP/SDPO Baldia, Hyderabad.

5. In these circumstances, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the allegations or the pending criminal proceedings, the DIGP Hyderabad Range is directed to examine the matter, ensure an impartial and comprehensive inquiry into the allegations of mala fide implication and harassment, and submit a report before the competent Court having jurisdiction through the impartial I.O. of the case within four weeks. The inquiry shall be conducted strictly in accordance with law, without influencing the investigation or trial of any pending criminal case. Upon receipt of the report, the trial Court shall consider the matter and pass appropriate orders.

6. The petition stands disposed of in the above terms.

JUDGE

JUDGE