

ORDER SHEET
IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH, KARACHI.
Cr. Bail Appl. No.3029 of 2026
(Liaqat Ali Dogar vs. The State)

Date	Order with signature of Judge
------	-------------------------------

For hearing of Bail Application.

06.10.2026.

Mr. Muhammad Farooq Ali Jatoi, Advocate a/w Applicant
 Mr. Mushraf Azhar, Special Prosecutor, ANF

ORDER

MUHAMMAD IQBAL KALHORO J: Applicant seeks pre arrest bail in Crime No.16/2026 U/s 6,7, 9(1) 3(c), 14,15 CNS Act, 1997 of P.S. ANF Clifton, Karachi. He was granted interim pre arrest bail vide order dated 15.09.2026, and today matter is fixed for confirmation of his interim pre arrest bail or otherwise.

2. Allegedly, ANF received spy information about involvement of officials of Excise and Taxation Department, particularly one Liaqat Ali, AETO District South and Abid Khan, Excise Constable, in narcotics business, and further that some accused were about to supply narcotics to them. Hence, on 13.03.2026, ANF team on such information reached near Mai Kolachi Bypass, service road and found a Suzuki Bolan with two persons available in it. Search of vehicle led to discovery of 83 K.Gs of Charas in it. In the investigation, they informed that narcotics was meant to be supplied to one Noor Khan, working for applicant and AETO, hence on such disclosure as well as spy information, applicant was booked in the present case. He applied for pre arrest bail before the trial court but after its dismissal, has filed this application.

3. Applicant's counsel has pleaded for bail on the rule of consistency stating that the co-accused, namely, Abid Khan has been granted bail by this Court vide order dated 03.09.2026, passed in Cr. B.A. No.2202/2026 and that the case of the applicant is at par with that of co-accused.

4. We have heard the parties' counsel and perused the record. Learned Special Prosecutor ANF has opposed bail on the ground that spy information was received against him and AETO and further in the investigation, the accused arrested from the spot disclosed their links with him.

5. However, we are of the view that at this stage prima facie except alleged spy information and statement of co-accused, which is yet to be

tested in the trial, prima facie no other tangible evidence is available against applicant of his involvement, even though the Challan has been submitted and investigation is over. We also note that the co-accused with the identical case has already been granted bail by this Court vide order dated 03.09.2026, passed in Cr. B.A. No.2202/2026. Therefore, the rule of consistency applies. Hence, the applicant has been able to make out a case for pre arrest bail. Accordingly this application is allowed and interim pre arrest bail granted to the applicant is confirmed on the same terms and conditions.

The observations made hereinabove are tentative in nature and would not prejudice case of either party at trial.

The Cr. Bail Applications are disposed of.

JUDGE

JUDGE

Rafiq/P.A