

ORDER SHEET

IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH BENCH AT SUKKUR

Constitutional Petition No.D-1804 of 2025

**[Ali Nawaz Chandio and another vs. M/S Shah Abdul Latif
University and others]**

Date

Order with the signature(s) of Judge(s)

1. For orders on the withdrawn statement of petitioner No.2 dated 30.4.2026.
2. For orders on office objections.
3. For hearing of CMA No. 7125/2025 (S/A).
4. For the hearing of the main case.

05.05.2026

Mr. Ghulam Shabbir Shar, Advocate for Petitioners.

Mr. Manzoor Hussain N. Larik, Advocate for the Respondent-University.

Mr. Zulfiqar Ali Naich, Assistant Advocate General.

Dr. Muhammad Yousuf, Vice Chancellor, and Muhammad Hassan, Registrar, Shah Abdul Latif University, Khairpur, are present.

.-----.

During the course of arguments, learned counsel for respondent No.1 placed on record an undertaking duly signed by the Vice-Chancellor and the Registrar of Shah Abdul Latif University, Khairpur. In the said undertaking, it has been stated that Advertisement No. Admn/TEACH/- dated 15.01.2026, pertaining to the posts of Professor, Associate Professor, Assistant Professor, Lecturer, Registrar, Controller of Examinations, Director QEC, and Director ORIC, has been withdrawn. It is further stated that, upon revisiting the University Code, 2021, and in light of the eligibility criteria prescribed by the Higher Education Commission, a fresh advertisement shall be issued strictly in accordance with the applicable law.

Learned counsel for the respondent-University further submits that, at present, no advertisement is subsisting in the field with respect to the aforesaid posts, and that any future recruitment

shall be initiated only after ensuring compliance with the relevant legal framework.

The Petitioner No.2 has filed a statement, supported by an affidavit under biometric verification dated 30.04.2026, whereby he has withdrawn the instant petition. Learned counsel for the petitioners, representing both petitioner No.1 and petitioner No.2, has expressed satisfaction over the undertaking furnished by the respondent-University and submits that, in light thereof, he does not wish to press the instant petition.

Consequently, in view of the statements made and the undertaking furnished, the instant petition is dismissed as not pressed. Order accordingly.

JUDGE

JUDGE