

IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH, KARACHI
Cr. Bail Application No.919 of 2026

Present:
Mr. Justice Muhammad Iqbal Kalhoro
Mr. Justice Khalid Hussain Shahani

Applicant:- Ibrahim through Mr. Jamil Ahmed Javed,
advocate.

Respondent:- The State through Mr. Ali Haider Salim,
Additional Prosecutor General.

Date of hearing:- 23.04.2026

=====

MUHAMMAD IQBAL KALHORO J: Complainant has alleged in FIR that he runs a sugar cane juice machine. His brother Muhammad Shahid was abducted by unknown persons on 28.05.2025. He received a phone call from abductors who asked him to reach the given place, when he reached there, he was made to sit in a car in which his brother was also present. They were taken to different places and were released only after a ransom amount of Rs.10,000/- was paid to applicant, who is employed in another sugar cane juice machine in the vicinity, by his relatives. Hence applicant was booked in the present case alongwith unknown accused.

2. Applicant's counsel has pleaded for bail on the grounds that applicant has been falsely implicated in this case on account of business rivalry and another FIR bearing No.727/2025, at PS Surjani Town, u/s 365 PPC was also registered by the relative of the complainant in which the applicant has already been granted bail. His arguments have been opposed by learned APG.

3. However, we are of the view that the case against the applicant requires further inquiry as *prima facie* it is only the applicant who has been identified with a *prima facie* dubious role in the FIR and none other. The complainant has alleged that his relative had paid ransom amount of Rs.10,000/- to applicant but name of said relative has not been mentioned nor he has been made a witness in the case. FIR No.727/2025 shows that applicant and complainant are already at odds with each other and similar case was already registered by the complainant party against the applicant. Therefore, as false implication

of the applicant cannot be ruled out, we allow the application and grant applicant bail subject to furnishing a solvent surety in the sum of Rs.1,00,000/- (Rupees One Hundred Thousands only) and PR Bond in the like amount to the satisfaction of the Nazir of this Court.

The Bail Application is disposed of accordingly. The observations herein above are tentative in nature and shall not affect the case of either party on merits before the trial Court.

JUDGE

HANIF

JUDGE