

HIGH COURT OF SINDH, CIRCUIT COURT HYDERABAD

Crl. Misc: Application No.S-181 of 2026

| DATE | ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF JUDGE(S) |
|------|----------------------------------|
|------|----------------------------------|

1. For orders on M.A No.3019/2026 (U/A)
2. For orders on office objection (s)
3. For orders on C.M.A No.2792/2026 (Exemption)
4. For hearing of main case

16.04.2026

Applicant in person

1. Granted.

2to4. Applicant Shaikh Muhammad Irfan Qazi, appearing in person, is aggrieved by the impugned order dated 21.07.2025, passed by the Ex-Officio Justice of Peace, Jamshoro. He contends that the proposed accused/respondents had harassed him. As reflected in Paragraph-4 of the impugned order, all the proposed accused had appeared before the Ex-Officio Justice of Peace and filed a statement asserting that they had neither harassed the applicant nor intended to do so in the future. Thereafter, Paragraph-5 of the impugned order records that the applicant, who is the same person now present before this Court, expressed satisfaction with the statement made by the proposed accused. In view of such acceptance on the part of the applicant, the application was disposed of by the learned Ex-Officio Justice of Peace, with the further direction that in case of any violation of the undertaking furnished by the proposed accused, the S.H.O Police Station Jamshoro, would take appropriate action and ensure that the applicant is not harassed.

Heard the applicant in person. The applicant has confirmed the contents of paragraph-4 and 5 of the impugned order. His (applicant's) grievance is that there has been a subsequent occurrence/incident after the passing of the impugned order and non-compliance by the proposed accused in terms of the undertaking as recorded by the

court, he has filed this Crl. Misc: application. No irregularity appears in the impugned order. If the applicant is subsequently aggrieved by any event occurring after the date of the impugned order, he is at liberty in accordance with law to file an appropriate application/complaint before the appropriate authorities.

Given the above, I do not find any reason in the impugned order which provide cause for interference. Accordingly, the Crl. Misc: Application stands dismissed in the above terms.

JUDGE

AHSAN K. ABRO