

IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH BENCH AT SUKKUR

Constitutional Petition No. S – 65 of 2026.
(Ghalib Hussain vs. Province of Sindh and others)

1. For orders on CMA No.218/2026
2. For orders on office objections
3. For orders on CMA No.219/2026
4. For hearing of main case.

19.03.2026.

Mr. Shewak Ram Valeecha, Advocate for the Petitioner.

>>>>..<<<<<

ORDER

Ali Haider 'Ada' J.: Through this petition, the petitioner has sought directions to the police functionaries for provision of protection. He has further prayed for issuance of directions to the SSP Dadu and SSP Mirpurkhas to produce respondent Nos. 7 to 11, who are stated to be residing within the territorial jurisdiction of Dadu and Mirpurkhas, on the allegation that they have forcibly taken away his wife, namely Mst. Sana, along with his two minor daughters. Additionally, directions have been sought for ensuring protection to his wife and minor daughters.

During the course of arguments, learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner had already approached the learned Sessions Judge/Justice of Peace for similar relief. The said application was allowed to the extent of providing protection; however, the relief regarding production of the alleged detainees was declined.

When queried as to why the petitioner had not availed the remedy available under Section 491 Cr.P.C. before the concerned Sessions Judge or any other competent forum having jurisdiction in District Mirpurkhas, learned counsel is unable to furnish any satisfactory explanation, merely stating that the petitioner resides in Sukkur, which falls within the

territorial jurisdiction of this Court, whereas his wife and children are residing in Mirpurkhas.

In view of the above jurisdictional defect, this petition is misconceived and not maintainable. The same is, accordingly, dismissed in limine. However, the petitioner is at liberty to approach the competent forum having proper jurisdiction for redressal of his grievance.

JUDGE