

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH, CIRCUIT COURT,
HYDERABAD.**

Criminal Bail Application No.S-269 of 2026

Applicants : Naveed @ Naveed Shoro, Aqib Ali @ Saqib Shoro,
Shoban Ali @ Shoban Shoro and Ghulam Abbas @
Abbas Shoro (on bail) through Mr. Ali Shahzad Memon,
advocate.

Complainant : Muhammad Murad through Mr. Shahid Hussain Abro,
advocate.

The State : through Mr. Shahid Ahmed Shaikh, Additional
Prosecutor General.

Date of hearing : **16.04.2026**

Date of Order : **16.04.2026**

O R D E R

JAWAD AKBAR SARWANA, J:- Through instant Bail Application, applicants named above seek pre-arrest bail in Crime No.32 of 2026 registered under Sections 365, 403, 509, 337-Ai, 337-Fi PPC at Police Station Kotri, after their bail plea was declined by the learned Additional Sessions Judge-II, Jamshoro vide order dated 27.02.2026.

2. The details and particulars of the F.I.R. are already available in the bail application and F.I.R., same could be gathered from the copy of F.I.R. attached with such application, hence need not to reproduce the same hereunder.

3. At the outset, complainant Muhammad Murad (CNIC No.41204-0433194-9) is present in Court along with his counsel and states that he has No Objection if the interim pre-arrest bail already granted to the applicants/accused is confirmed. Affidavits of no objection by complainant Muhammad Murad and injured Atta Muhammad, have been placed on record.

4. On the other hand, learned Additional P.G in the light of No Objection extended by the complainant has also recorded his No Objection.

5. Heard learned counsel for the parties and A.P.G. The complainant himself alongwith his counsel is present before this Court and has categorically stated that he has no objection if the interim pre-arrest bail granted to the applicants/accused is confirmed. The learned Additional Prosecutor General has

also not opposed the instant bail application in view of the statement of the complainant. No any exceptional circumstance has been shown which may justify the refusal of the concession of pre-arrest bail.

6. Accordingly, the interim pre-arrest bail earlier granted to the applicants/accused vide order dated 03.03.2026 is hereby confirmed on the same terms and conditions. This order is limited to the concession of bail alone and shall not prejudice the case of either side at trial. The applicants / accused are however directed to attend the trial. In case of their failure, the trial Court would be at liberty to take action in accordance with law.

7. Criminal Bail Application stands disposed of in the above terms.

JUDGE

Irfan