

ORDER SHEET
IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH, AT KARACHI
Criminal Bail Application No.2215 of 2026

Date	Order with Signature(s) of Judge(s)
------	-------------------------------------

Presents:
Mr. Justice Muhammad Iqbal Kalhoro
Mr. Justice Khalid Hussain Shahani,

For hearing of bail application

18.08.2026

Mr. Nusrat Ali Shar, advocate for the applicant.
Ms. Rubina Qadir, DPG a/w SIP Muhammad Ramzan of P.S. Sohrab Goth.

ORDER

MUHAMMAD IQBAL KALHORO J:- Applicant Abbas Khan S/o Kako Khan is seeking post arrest bail in Crime No.44/2026 U/s 9 (i) 3 (c) CNS Act, 2024 registered at P.S. Sohrab Goth.

2. Applicant was arrested from Nadi Kanara, Jannat Gul Town, Karachi by a Police team headed by SI Tamachi Khan and from him allegedly 1085 grams charas was recovered, hence he was booked in the present case.
3. Learned counsel for applicant has pleaded for bail on the ground that the applicant is in jail for six months and still no progress has been made in the trial and this court in other cases has granted bail in which more quantity of charas than in this case was recovered. In support of his arguments, he has relied upon the case of Muhammad Ali Jagirani Vs. Province of Sindh and another (2026 MLD 599) and two unreported orders of this Court in Cr. B.A.Nos.2299 of 2026 & 89 of 2025 dated 18.11.2025 and 13.08.2026.
4. Learned DPG has opposed for bail stating that the applicant is a habitual offender, against him and his brother more than seven cases have been registered since 2020 and this incident was recorded on video. Learned defence counsel has however, stated that in none of the cases he has been convicted.

5. We have considered submissions of the parties and are of the view that applicant is not entitled to bail at this stage, as there is a criminal record not only against him but his other family member showing consistency in commission of offences by them in the past. Apparently, the applicant, despite being granted bail in all those cases, kept on repeating the offence and hence misusing the concession of bail. More so, prima-facie, the recovery has been video recorded. The cases cited by learned counsel for applicant in support of his arguments have different facts and are not applicable. We, therefore, dismiss this bail application, however, direct the trial Court to examine the material witnesses in three months, after which the applicant at liberty to repeat bail application.

6. The observations made herein above are tentative in nature and would not prejudice case of either party at trial.

7. This Cr. Bail Application is disposed of.

JUDGE

JUDGE

Imran