

ORDER SHEET
IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH BENCH AT SUKKUR
Cr. Bail App. No. S – 339 of 2026

Date of hearing	Order with signature of Judge
-----------------	-------------------------------

For hearing of bail application

24.07.2026

Mr. Shafique Ahmed Legahri, Advocate along with applicant.
Mr. Shafi Muhammad Mahar, Deputy Prosecutor General.

.-.-.-.-.-.-.-.-.-.-

ZULFIQAR ALI SANGI, J. – Applicant seeks pre-arrest bail in FIR No.154/2025, registered at Police Station Kumb, for offences under Sections 506(2), 365-B, 511, and 354-A PPC. His earlier pre-arrest bail application was declined by the learned Additional Sessions Judge-IV/GBV, Khairpur, vide order dated 07.04.2026.

2. The details and particulars of the FIR are already available in the bail application and the FIR. The same could be gathered from the copy of the FIR attached with such application; hence, there is no need to reproduce the same hereunder.

3. Learned counsel for the applicant contended that the applicant/accused is innocent and has been falsely implicated in the present case. He argued that there is a delay of about two months in lodging the FIR, which has not been properly explained. He further contended that there was only an attempt to kidnap Mst. Momina, and the offence allegedly committed by the accused took place in the house of the complainant; therefore, Section 354-A PPC is not attracted to the facts and circumstances of the present case. He has further contended that co-accused Shoukat Ali Shambani in similar circumstances, has been granted bail by the learned trial Court. He, therefore, prays for confirmation of the interim pre-arrest bail already granted to the applicant/accused.

4. Learned Deputy Prosecutor General, appearing on behalf of the State, after going through the contents of the FIR and the order dated 22.05.2026 passed by the learned Additional Sessions Judge-IV/GBV, Khairpur, whereby co-accused Shoukat Ali was granted post-arrest bail, has conceded to the confirmation of interim pre-arrest bail to the present applicant.

5. Having heard the learned counsel for the applicant/accused, learned D.P.G. for the State, and perused the material available on record.

6. From the perusal of the record, it reflects that there is a delay of two months in lodging the FIR. The same has not been explained by the complainant. All the witnesses are related to each other; therefore, they are interested. Co-accused Shoukat Ali has been granted bail by the learned trial Court; therefore, the rule of consistency is applicable to the present applicant, and he is entitled to the concession of the same relief.

7. In view of the above discussion, learned counsel for the applicant/accused has made out a good case for confirmation of bail in the light of sub-section (2) of Section 497 Cr.P.C.; hence, the interim pre-arrest bail already granted to the applicant/accused named above vide order dated 16.04.2026 is hereby confirmed on the same terms and conditions.

8. The bail application stands disposed of in the above terms.

JUDGE

Irfan.