

IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH, BENCH AT SUKKUR
Crl. Bail Application No. S- 433/2026.

Date of hearing	Order with signature of Judge
-----------------	-------------------------------

- 1. For orders on office objections.
- 2. For hearing of bail application.

ORDER.
17.07.2026.

Mr. Ghazanfar Ali Jatoi, Advocate for applicant.

Mr. Shafi Muhammad Mahar, D.P.G.

.....

ZULIFQAR ALI SANGI J., Through this order, I intend to dispose of pre-arrest bail application filed on behalf of applicant/accused Ghulam Fareed son of Daterdino Chandio in crime No.41/2026, offence under section 376 PPC of Police Station Sorah District Khairpu. Prior to this, the applicant/accused named above filed such application for grant of bail but the same was turned down by learned Additional Sessions Judge-IV (GBVC) Khairpur vide order dated 07.05.2026 hence he has filed instant bail application.

2. The details and particulars of the FIR are already available in the bail application and FIR, same could be gathered from the copy of FIR attached with such application, hence, needs not to reproduce the same hereunder.
3. Learned counsel for applicant submits that applicant/accused is innocent and has falsely been implicated in this case. He further submits that there is inordinate delay of four days in lodging of the FIR which has not been explained properly. He further submits that alleged victim in her statement under section 164 Cr.P.C before Ist. Civil Judge Gambat has not implicated the present applicant /accused in the commission of alleged offence. He, therefore, seeks for confirmation of interim pre-arrest bail.

4. On the other hand learned D.P.,G for State conceded for confirmation of bail on the ground that alleged victim has not implicated the applicant/accused in her statement recorded under section 164 Cr.P.C.

5. I have heard learned counsel for applicant, learned D.P.G and has gone through the material available on record.

6. Admittedly alleged victim Mst. Jannat in her 164 Cr.P.C statement recorded by Civil Judge-I Gambat has categorically stated that she was married about 08 years back and her father-in-law was committing zinna with her and FIR lodged against the applicant /accused Ghulam Fareed is false and complainant Muhammad who is father-in-law of victim Mst. Jannat has lodged FIR only to save his skin, however, she has specifically stated that applicant/accused Ghulam Fareed is innocent and has not committed the alleged offence.

7. In view of above discussion, learned counsel for the applicant/accused has made out a good case for confirmation of bail in the light of sub section (2) of Section 497 CrPC, hence interim pre arrest bail already granted to the applicant/accused Ghulam Fareed vide order dated 12.05.2026 is hereby confirmed on same terms and conditions.

8. Aforesaid bail application is disposed of in the above terms.

J U D G E

Irfan