

ORDER SHEET
IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH BENCH AT SUKKUR
Cr. Bail App. No. S – 300 of 2026

Date of hearing	Order with signature of Judge
-----------------	-------------------------------

For hearing of bail application

17.07.2026

Mr. Asadullah Soomro, Advocate along with applicant.
Mr. Shafi Muhammad Mahar, Deputy Prosecutor General.

.-.-.-.-.-.-.-.-.-.-

ZULFIQAR ALI SANGI, J. – Applicant seeks pre-arrest bail in FIR No.85/2026, registered at Police Station ‘C; Section Sukkur for offence under Section 392 PPC. His earlier pre-arrest bail application was declined by learned Additional Sessions Judge-III Sukkur vide order dated 25.03.2026.

2. Learned counsel for applicant contended that applicant/accused is innocent and has been falsely implicated in the present case. He argued that applicant is not involved in the commission of offence and the allegations are general in nature and all witnesses are closely related to the complainant. He also submits that co-accused namely: Farman Ali has been granted bail by the trial Court and the offence with which the applicant has been charged does not come within prohibitory clause of section 497 Cr.P.C. He therefore, prays for confirmation of interim pre-arrest bail already granted to the applicant/accused.
3. Learned Deputy Prosecutor General appearing on behalf of the State concedes for the confirmation of interim pre-arrest bail on the ground that co-accused having identical role has been granted post arrest bail by the learned trial Court.
4. Having heard learned counsel for applicant/accused, learned D.P.G for State and perused the material available on record.

5. Admittedly name of applicant/accused transpire in the FIR but no specific role has assigned to him. Co-accused namely Farman Ali having identical role to the applicant/accused has been granted post arrest bail by the trial Court and the case of present applicant/accused Imran Ali is on same footings hence he is also entitled for concession of same relief. The alleged offence does not come under prohibitory clause of section 497 Cr.P.C.

6. In view of above discussion, learned counsel for the applicant/accused has made out a good case for confirmation of bail in the light of sub section (2) of Section 497 CrPC, hence interim pre arrest bail already granted to the applicant/accused named above vide order dated is hereby confirmed on same terms and conditions.

7. The bail application stands disposed of in the above terms.

JUDGE

Irfan.