

IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH, CIRCUIT COURT, MIRPURKHAS
Criminal Revision Application No.S-73 of 2025

Applicants: 1. Aijaz S/o Muhammad Bux,
2. Muhammad Rafique s/o Beero,
3. Misri Khan s/o Muhammad Bux,
4. Ghulam Mustafa s/o Muhammad Moosa,
5. Muhammad Moosa s/o Muhammad Bux,
Through Mr. Malik Bux Mari, advocate.

Complainant: Abdullah s/o Muhammad Idrees through Mr. Afzal Karim Virk, advocate.

Respondent: The State.
Through Mr. Neel Parkash, Deputy P.G.

Date of hearing: 14.07.2026.

Date of Order: 14.07.2026.

ORDER

Khalid Hussain Shahani, J:- Applicant Aijaz and 04 others, invoke revisional jurisdiction of this court, seeking reversal of the conviction and sentence recorded against the Applicants by the learned Judicial Magistrate-I (MTMC), Sanghar, in CrI. Case No. 82/2024 (New) & Cr. Case No. 59/2024 (Old), bearing Crime No. 15 of 2024, P.S. Shah Pur Chakar, for offences under Sections 337-A(i), 337-L(ii), 337-H(ii), 506(2), 147, 148 and 149 PPC. The trial Court's findings are summarized below:

i. The Applicants, acting with common object, caused Shajjah-i-Khafifah and other hurt under Sections 337-A(i), 337-L(ii), 147, 148 and 149 PPC. Each was sentenced to one year's simple imprisonment and ordered to pay Daman of Rupees one lac to the injured, in default of which the defaulting convict was to remain imprisoned until payment. The Applicants were further convicted under Sections 506(2) and 337-H(2) PPC and sentenced to one year's simple imprisonment.

ii. Sentences were made to run concurrently. Benefit under Section 382-B Cr.P.C was granted. The Applicants were remanded to judicial custody; their bail bonds were cancelled and sureties discharged.

2. The Applicants' appeal, Criminal Appeal No. 05/2025, was dismissed by the learned Additional Sessions Judge-I, Sanghar, vide judgment dated 22.11.2025, which upheld the trial Court's findings in full.

3. The prosecution case, in brief: on 20.10.2023, the complainant party was confronted at the disputed land by the accused and others, resulting in an armed clash. The accused Rafique, Aijaz and Ghulam Mustafa, armed with lathis, and accused Muhammad Moosa and Misri, armed with firearms, allegedly caused injuries to Abdul Sattar and Ghous Bux, accompanied by aerial firing and threats to life. During treatment, accused Ismail died of his injuries. On 05.12.2023, accused Muhammad Moosa, Illahi Bux, Sultan and Aijaz allegedly murdered Hussain Bux, leading to cross-FIRs between the parties, including the FIR underlying these proceedings.

4. Counsel for the Applicants submits that the parties have compromised, and compromise applications under Sections 345(2) and 345(6) Cr.P.C have been filed. The complainant and injured, present in Court, confirm no objection to acquittal of the Applicants.

5. Learned Deputy Prosecutor General waives notice and confirms the offences are compoundable, noting that the complainant and injured have sworn affidavits recording their consent to acquittal.

6. All offences here are compoundable. The compromise applications, supported by affidavits, are found genuine and

voluntary, free of coercion or inducement. The application under Section 345(2) Cr.P.C is allowed. The application for acceptance of compromise and acquittal is likewise allowed, and the impugned judgments of the trial Court and Appellate Court are set aside. The Applicants are acquitted of the charges. As the Applicants are on bail per this Court's order dated 18.12.2025, their bail bonds stand discharged pursuant to the compromise recorded by the parties present in Court.

JUDGE

“Chander Kumar”