

IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH, BENCH AT SUKKUR.

Cr. Bail Application No.S-222 of 2026
(*Gulab Kalhoro and others v. The State*)

Mr. Riaz Ali Shaikh, Advocate along with Applicants.
Mr. Sardar Ali Shah, APG for the State.

Date of Hearing: **13-07-2026**

Date of Order: **13-07-2026**

ORDER

ZULFIQAR ALI SANGI, J.- Through instant Criminal Bail Application applicants Gulab, Bhooral, Sheral alias Sher Muhammad, Mashooque Ali and Rustam Ali seek pre-arrest bail in Crime No.06 of 2026, registered at P.S, Kandiaro, under sections 452, 364, 120-B, 506/2, 504, 147, 148 & 149 PPC 452, 337A(i), F(i), 504, 114 PPC. Their earlier bail plea was declined by learned trial Court vide order dated 25.02.2026.

2. The facts of the F.I.R. are mentioned in the bail application copy whereof is also attached with the memo of bail application, hence, need not to reproduce the same here.

3. Learned counsel for the applicants contends that co-accused Gulsher Ali has already been granted bail by this Court vide order dated 26.03.2026 passed in CrI.B.A. No.S-227 of 2026, and that the case of the present applicants stands on a better footing than that of the said co-accused, who has already been admitted to bail by this Court.

4. In view of the order passed by this Court in the aforementioned bail application, learned Additional Prosecutor General conceded to the confirmation of the interim pre-arrest bail earlier granted to the applicants by this Court.

5. Perusal of the record reflects that this Court has already granted bail to co-accused Gulsher Ali Kalhoro while observing in paragraph No.6 as under:

"6. Admittedly, there is delay of three months in registration of FIR for which no plausible explanation has been furnished. The applicant was alleged to have abducted his own wife, then the applicability of Sections 364 and 452 Cr.P.C is yet to be determined by recording evidence. The Complainant party is alleged to sustain injuries but did not approach the concerned hospital. The allegation against the applicant rests solely upon the statement of the alleged abductee, Mst. Sheena, who is the wife of the present applicant. It is yet to be determined, through cogent evidence, whether the allegation of abduction can be substantiated against the husband. In these circumstances, the case of the applicant squarely calls for further inquiry at this stage. The applicant has made out a case for the grant of bail, consequently, applicant is admitted to bail subject to his furnishing solvent surety in the sum of Rs.10,000/- (Ten Thousand only) and PR Bond in the like amount to the satisfaction of the trial Court".

6. On further perusal, it appears that the case of the applicant is on better footings to that of co-accused already granted bail by this Court. Hence, applicant is entitled for grant of pre-arrest bail. Under these circumstances, the instant bail application is **allowed**, and the interim pre-arrest bail earlier granted to the applicants by this Court is hereby confirmed on the same terms and conditions.

J U D G E