

IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH, CIRCUIT COURT, MIRPURKHAS

Cr. Bail Application No. S-142 of 2026

Applicants: 1. Majid-Ur-Rehman,
2. Wajid-Ur-Rehman,
Both sons of Muhammad Juman,
3. Affan Ali @ Muna s/o Ali Hassan,
Through Mr. Ghulam Nabi Meo, Advocate.

Complainant: Ghulam Rasool through Mr. Haji Qalandar
Bux Laghari, advocate

Respondent: The state through Mr. Ghulam Abbas
Dalwani, D.P.G for the state.

Date of Hearing: 10.07.2026

Date of Order: 10.07.2026

O R D E R

Khalid Hussain Shahani, J:- Applicants Majid Ur Rehman and two others seek pre-arrest bail in Crime No.27/2026, registered under Sections 337-A(ii), 337-F(i), 337-H(ii) and 34, P.P.C, at Police Station Jhudo, District Mirpurkhas, following the dismissal of their bail plea by the learned Additional Sessions Judge-I, Mirpurkhas, vide order dated 31.03.2026.

2. The prosecution case, as unfolded in the F.I.R, is that on 10.03.2026 the complainant's son, Zeeshan Hyder, while proceeding on a motorcycle, became embroiled in an altercation with the applicants over a road-crossing dispute. It is alleged that petitioner Wajid Ahmedani inflicted blows with an iron rod upon the injured, while applicants Majid Ahmedani and Afnan alias Munna Qaimkhani assaulted him with fists and kicks. Upon the arrival of witnesses Zulqarnain Memon and Farooque Riasat Malik, the assailants are said to have fled the scene, with applicant Majid Ahmedani resorting to aerial firing in the course of their escape. The injured was thereafter shifted, successively, to RHC Jhudo,

Taluka Hospital Digri, and finally Hyderabad, for medical treatment, culminating in the registration of the present F.I.R.

3. Learned counsel for the applicants has argued with considerable force that they stand falsely implicated on account of malice and ulterior design, that the F.I.R. is conspicuously silent as to the specific role attributed to each accused, and that the injuries in question were, in truth, occasioned not by any assault but by an accidental fall sustained by the complainant's son while racing his motorcycle. It has further been submitted that none of the offences invoked attract the prohibitory clause of Section 497(2), Cr.P.C., and of decisive significance that the parties have since amicably resolved their dispute, with both the complainant and the injured having filed sworn affidavits expressing no objection to the confirmation of bail.

4. Learned counsel for the complainant, as also the learned Deputy Prosecutor General appearing for the State, have candidly conceded to the confirmation of bail, affirming that the offences are compoundable in nature and that a genuine compromise subsists between the parties.

5. This Court has given anxious consideration to the submissions advanced and has examined the record with care. It is manifest that all the offences invoked in the F.I.R. are compoundable, and the affidavits of the complainant and the injured both present in Court and duly examined lend unimpeachable corroboration to the asserted compromise. Learned counsel for the applicants has further undertaken to formalize the compromise before the learned Trial Court in due course.

6. In these circumstances, and without in any manner adjudicating upon the merits of the case, this Court finds no impediment to the confirmation of bail. Consequently, the interim pre-arrest bail earlier granted to the applicants vide order dated 06.04.2026 stands confirmed on the same terms and conditions, and the instant application is disposed of accordingly.

JUDGE

“Chander Kumar”