

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH, CIRCUIT COURT,
HYDERABAD.**

R.A.No. 35 of 2026

Applicant : Ikram Danish s/o Hassan Ali Khan. **Nemo.**

Respondent : Muhammad Javed Khan s/o Haji Muhammad Siddique Khan (in person).

Date of hearing : **13.04.2026**

Date of Order : **13.04.2026**

O R D E R

JAWAD AKBAR SARWANA J:- The applicant/defendant Ikram Danish is aggrieved by impugned order dated 18.02.2026, wherein in the Summary Suit, the 9th Additional District Judge, Hyderabad granted leave to defend filed by the said applicant/defendant.

2. I have perused the impugned order and apart from granting the leave to defend application there is no reason whatsoever articulated in the said order setting out why it was granted. Reasons as ingredients are necessary, vital and critical when granting leave to defend as reasons are like anchors to an order and save orders from arbitrariness.

3. For the above reasons as the impugned order articulates no reason, the same is set aside and the matter is remanded to the 9th Additional District Judge, Hyderabad to rehear the matter and the decide the leave to defend application afresh with reasons in accordance with law. It may not be out of place to mention here that when this revision was filed on 27.02.2026 the proceedings were suspended in the Summary Suit No.147/2025. Accordingly, the concerned Court is directed to decide the leave to defend application within two (02) weeks

from receipt of notice of this order after giving notice to the Counsel. Accordingly, this Revision Application is disposed of in the above terms along with listed application.

JUDGE

Tufail