

**ORDER SHEET**

**HIGH COURT OF SINDH, CIRCUIT COURT HYDERABAD**

**Crl. Misc: Application No.S-160 of 2026**

---

<b>DATE</b>	<b>ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF JUDGE(S)</b>
-------------	---

---

1. For orders on M.A No.2752/2026
2. For orders on office objection (s)
3. For orders on M.A No.2753/2026 (Exemption)
4. For hearing of main case

**09.04.2026**

1. Disposed of.

2to4. None is present on behalf of the applicant. No intimation is received. This matter arises out of a cheque allegedly issued by proposed accused No. 1 in favour of the applicant. The Ex-Officio Justice of Peace, Hala, on an application filed by the applicant under Sections 22-A and 22-B, Cr.P.C, allowed the same and directed the S.H.O, P.S. Hala New, to record the statement of the applicant. It appears that the applicant is aggrieved on the ground that proposed accused No. 1 had issued a cheque and referred to proposed accused No.1 alone but not proposed accused No.2. I have perused the impugned order and find nothing on record to suggest that the Ex-Officio Justice of Peace confined the S.H.O., P.S. Hala New, to recording the applicant's statement only with respect to the cheque mentioned therein concerning proposed accused No.1. There is no prohibition in the impugned order which stops the S.H.O PS Hala New to take action against anyone else, including proposed accused No.2. Indeed the final outcome against proposed accused No.1 and 2 depends on the statement of applicant, which is yet to be recorded.

No ground is made out for interference in the impugned order. No irregularity is apparent in the same.. For these reasons, this Crl. Misc. Application is **dismissed** in limine.

**JUDGE**