

# HIGH COURT OF SINDH CIRCUIT COURT MIRPURKHAS

## Constitutional Petition No.S-100 of 2024

Petitioner: Muhammad Abbas S/o Muhammad Yameen,  
Through Mr. Arshad Hussain B. Sherani, Advocate.

Respondent: Mst. Aneesa D/o Muhammad Anwar.

Date of Hearing: 08.04.2026.

Date of Order: 08.04.2026.

### **ORDER**

**Muhammad Jaffer Raza, J.:** Through the instant petition, the petitioner has impugned the Judgment dated 04.03.2026 passed in Family Appeal No.01/2026 by the learned Additional District Judge-II, Sanghar. The above-noted Judgment emanated from the Judgment and decree dated 12.08.2025 passed in Family Suit No.74/2025 preferred by respondent No. 1.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has primarily argued that he was not given an opportunity to cross-examine the respondent No.1, and because of this, the evidence adduced by the said respondent remained un rebutted. He further argued that he could not appear for cross-examination due to threats to his life and liberty.

I have perused the record with the assistance of learned counsel for the petitioner.

It is apparent from the perusal of the record that several opportunities were given to the petitioner to proceed with the family suit preferred by respondent No.1; however, he did not avail any of those opportunities, the details of which are aptly recorded in the judgment rendered by the learned trial court vide order dated 12.08.2025. In respect of the contention advanced by learned counsel for the petitioner that there was a threat to his life and liberty, suffice it to say that, on the same ground, the petitioner appeared and preferred a transfer application, which was dismissed.

In the circumstances, the concurrent findings of the courts below require no interference and are legally sound, therefore, the instant petition is **dismissed** in *limine* along with pending application(s).

**JUDGE**