

IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH CIRCUIT COURT LARKANA

1<sup>st</sup> Cr. Bail Application No. D-130 of 2025

***Before;***

*Mr. Justice Adnan Iqbal Chaudhry;  
Mr. Justice Abdul Hamid Bhurgri.*

Applicant : Nisar @ Nisar Ahmed son of Abdul Rauf Sakhani Chandio, through Mr. Habibullah G.Ghourri, Advocate.

The State : Through Mr. Nazir Ahmed Bhangwar, D.P.G for State.

Date of Hearing : 17.02.2026  
Date of Order : 26.02.2026.

**ORDER**

The applicant, Nisar @ Nisar Ahmed, seeks post-arrest bail in Crime No.137/2025 registered at Police Station Warrah for offences under Sections 395, 324, 353, 440, 148, 149, 109 P.P.C. read with Sections 6/7 of the Anti-Terrorism Act, 1997, after dismissal of his bail application by the learned Judge, Anti-Terrorism Court, Larkana, vide order dated 20.11.2025.

2. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that the applicant was not named in the F.I.R. and his name was subsequently introduced in statements under Section 161 Cr.P.C.; that no independent witness was associated; and that the case calls for further inquiry under Section 497(2), Cr.P.C.

3. Conversely, learned Deputy Prosecutor General submits that the F.I.R. records that certain accused had open faces and were identifiable; that the applicant was nominated on the same day during investigation with a specific role of firing upon the injured police official; and that the weapon recovered from him has been matched with the crime empties through forensic examination.

4. We have considered the submissions and perused the material available on record. The F.I.R. reflects that the accused were seen and could be recognized if seen again. The applicant was nominated during

investigation on the same day and, in the supplementary statement, a specific role of firing upon ASI Allah Warrayo has been attributed to him. The medical evidence prima facie supports the prosecution version. It further appears that the pistol recovered from the applicant has, as per the Forensic Science Laboratory report available in the police papers, been matched with the empties secured from the place of occurrence. This forensic linkage constitutes independent corroborative material connecting the applicant with the alleged offence at this stage. The contention regarding non-mentioning of the applicant in the F.I.R. does not by itself bring the case within the ambit of further inquiry, particularly when the F.I.R. laid foundation of identification and the prosecution relies upon specific role attribution supported by medical and forensic material. The remaining submissions pertain to matters of deeper appreciation of evidence, which cannot be undertaken at bail stage.

5. On tentative assessment, reasonable grounds exist to believe that the applicant is connected with the commission of the offence. The case does not fall within Section 497(2), Cr.P.C. Accordingly, the bail application is dismissed.

6. Since the applicant is in custody, the learned trial Court is directed to proceed with the matter expeditiously and conclude the trial preferably within a period of three months and without granting unnecessary adjournments to either side.

7. The observations made herein are tentative in nature and shall not prejudice the case of either party at trial.

*J U D G E*

*J U D G E*