

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH, CIRCUIT COURT, LARKANA**

Constitution Petition No.D-58 of 2026

---

Date	Order with signature of Judge
------	-------------------------------

---

***Before;***

*Mr. Justice Adnan Iqbal Chaudhry;*  
*Mr. Justice Abdul Hamid Bhurgri.*

Petitioner : Nisar Ahmed son of Wahid Bux Chano,  
through Mr. Vinod Kumar G.Jesrani,  
Advocate.

Respondents : P.O Sindh and others.

***Date of Hearing*** : ***25.02.2026.***

***Date of Order*** : ***25.02.2026.***

**ORDER**

***Abdul Hamid Bhurgri, J.-*** Through the instant constitutional petition, the petitioner seeks direction for mutation of agricultural land bearing Survey No.70, measuring 07-08 acres to the extent of his share measuring 02-18 acres, situated in Deh Kathiya Bazar, Tapo Nasirabad, Taluka Nasirabad, District Kamber-Shahdadkot, in his favour on the basis of registered Sale Deed dated 27.03.1996.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner contended that despite execution of the registered sale deed and repeated approaches made before the revenue authorities, the property has not been mutated in the name of the petitioner; therefore, he prayed that this Court may direct the respondents to incorporate mutation entries in his favour.

3. Heard learned counsel and perused the available record.

4. The grievance of the petitioner pertains to mutation of revenue record, which squarely falls within the exclusive domain of the revenue authorities under the Land Revenue Act. The law provides a complete and efficacious mechanism for redress of such grievance, including filing of an application before the competent revenue officer and, in case of refusal or inaction, remedies of appeal, revision, and other proceedings before the appropriate revenue forums.

5. It is well settled that where adequate and efficacious alternate remedy is available under the law, constitutional jurisdiction under Article 199 of the Constitution of the Islamic Republic of Pakistan, 1973, is not ordinarily exercised, unless exceptional circumstances are shown.

6. In the present case, no exceptional circumstance has been pointed out which may justify interference by this Court in exercise of its constitutional jurisdiction bypassing the statutory remedy available under the Land Revenue law.

7. In view of the above, this constitutional petition is not maintainable and is hereby dismissed in *limine* along with listed applications, if any. However, the petitioner shall be at liberty to avail appropriate remedy available to him under the law before the competent forum, which shall decide the same strictly in accordance with law and on its own merits, without being influenced by any observation made herein.

*JUDGE*

*JUDGE*