

ORDER SHEET
IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH, CIRCUIT COURT, LARKANA

Crl. Misc. Appln. No.S-299 of 2025
[Mst. Naila Mughal v. SSP Jacobabad & others]

DATE OF HEARING	ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF HON'BLE JUDGE
--------------------	---------------------------------------

1. For orders on office objection 'A'.
2. For hearing of main case.

02.02.2026

Mr. Muhammad Ibrahim Lashari, advocate for the applicant.

M/s Suhbat Ali Joyo & Saifullah Soomro, advocates for the respondents.

Mr. Sardar Ali Solangi, D.P.G.

-.-.-.-.-

Adnan Iqbal Chaudhry, J:- Applicant has challenged the order dated 07.05.2025, passed by Additional Sessions Judge-II/Justice of Peace, Jacobabad dismissing the applicant's application under sections 22-A (6) Cr.P.C essentially on the ground that the applicant is attempting to enforce a decree of dowry articles by way of criminal proceedings.

Apparently, the applicant has filed an execution application against the respondent No.4 for recovery under a decree for dowry articles. It is contended by learned counsel that the respondents No.3 & 4 committed offences punishable under sections 406 & 420, PPC when they filed statement before the Additional Sessions Judge, Sukkur, in proceedings brought by the respondent No.3 under sections 22-A(6), Cr.P.C that the respondent No.3 will issue cheques to the applicant to settle the decree against the respondent No.4. It is submitted that those cheques were never filed before the executing Court. When confronted with how that constitutes the alleged offences under Sections 406 & 420, PPC, learned counsel is unable to show that said offences are attracted to the circumstances of the case. Therefore, the finding in the impugned order that the applicant seeks to enforce a decree for dowry articles by criminal process, seems to be spot on. Resultantly, this application is dismissed.

Judge