

# HIGH COURT OF SINDH CIRCUIT COURT, MIRPURKHAS

**C.P No.D-720 of 2025**

[Muhammad Anwar vs. Province of Sindh and Others]

Before:

**JUSTICE ADNAN-UL-KARIM MEMON**  
**JUSTICE RIAZAT ALI SAHAR**

Mr. Iqrar Ali N. Panhwar, advocate for petitioner

Mr. Muhammad Qaim Pahore, advocate for respondent(s)

Mr. Muhammad Sharif Solangi, Assistant A.G. Sindh a/w SHO Peerumal  
Aamir Ali

Date of hearing & decision: 19.11.2025

**ADNAN-UL-KARIM MEMON J.-** Petitioner respectfully prays for Quashment of FIR No. 92/2025 registered at PS Perumal district Sanghar under Sections 447, 511, 337H(ii), 506(2), 147, 148, 149, 504 PPC.

2. Case of the Petitioner is that he is prominent zamindar of the locality and suffers from paralysis in his right arm; his brother Muhammad Akram Wassan purchased one acre of agricultural land, part of Survey No. 138/4, Deh/Tapo Kandiari Taluka & District Sanghar from Zahid Ali Mallah through registered Sale Deed; that said Zahid Ali Mallah delivered both physical and cultivating possession of the land to his brother, who since then has maintained possession. Respondent No.5 has shown malicious intent toward the land and has threatened for illegal dispossession. Respondent No.5 along with his associates is harassing the Petitioner's family unlawfully to vacate the land. To further his intentions, Respondent No.5 lodged false and baseless FIR (Crime No. 92/2025, PS Perumal) under Sections 447, 511, 337H(ii), 506(2), 147, 148, 149, 504 PPC), claiming lease on the land. The Petitioner and others were granted pre-arrest bail, confirmed by the Additional Sessions Judge-I/MCTC, Sanghar on 30-10-2025. Zahid Ali Mallah has provided an affidavit (dated 30-10-2025) confirming that he never leased or delivered possession of the land to Respondent No.5. Respondent No.5 is highly influential and has misused his influence over local authorities, including the police to lodge this false FIR and intimidate the Petitioner's family. No incident as alleged in the FIR has occurred; the FIR is a malicious attempt to harass and illegally dispossess the Petitioner's family. Respondents 2 to 4 are also pressuring the Petitioner's family, and the police is acting at the behest of Respondent No.5, making their lives miserable. Since the FIR is baseless, continuing its investigation would constitute an abuse of the legal process.

3. Learned A.A.G assisted by the counsel for private parties submitted that both parties are closely related, and the dispute concerns agricultural land. On 27.10.2025 the on-duty officer of PS Perumal along with Respondent No.5, lodged FIR No. 92/2025 under Sections 447, 511, 337H(ii), 506(2), 504, 147, 148, 149 PPC against the Petitioner and others for attempting illegal possession of land allegedly leased to Respondent No.5. The investigation was completed, and an interim challan / report under Section 173 Cr.P.C. was submitted to the competent court. No harassment or threats were made against the Petitioner, and all allegations against Respondent No.5 are false, baseless, and fabricated. The matter is a genuine land dispute between the Petitioner and Respondent No.4, which is pending trial before the appropriate court. The matter before this court primarily concerns a dispute over ownership and possession of agricultural land. The Petitioner claims that the FIR lodged by Respondent No.5 is false, malicious and intended to harass. In contrast, the Respondent contends that the FIR was lawfully registered following legitimate complaint of illegal possession.

4. After considering the submissions of both parties, this Court observes that the allegations in FIR No. 92/2025 relate to ownership and possession of agricultural land between closely related parties. There are conflicting claims regarding lease and possession of the disputed land. The Petitioner has alleged harassment, intimidation and abuse of process, while the Respondent asserts lawful registration of the FIR and denies any harassment.

5. In such circumstances, Deputy Inspector General of Police (DIGP), Mirpurkhas, is directed to order re-investigation of FIR No. 92/2025 through an impartial, we reputed and competent police officer not below the rank of Deputy Superintendent of Police (DSP). The DSP shall complete the re-investigation and submit detailed report with findings before the competent court within **17 days** from the date of this order. The concerned Magistrate shall examine the re-investigation report and pass speaking order based on the findings, determining whether the FIR requires modification or is liable to be quashed.

6. This petition stands disposed of in the above terms.

JUDGE

JUDGE