(F)

## ORDER SHEET IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH, CIRCUIT COURT, LARKANA. Constt: Pett: No.S- 116 of 2017.

Date

Order with signature of Hon'ble Judge

For orders on office objection as flag A.
 For katcha peshi.

10/03.2017.

Mrs. Kalpana Devi, Asstt: A.G.

=====

None present on behalf of the petitioner, however in response to the notice respondent No.1 present files statement dated 10.03.2017 alongwith Photostat copy of Memo of petition being C.P No.S- 260 of 2017 filed by the alleged detainee Mst.Hameeda daughter of the petitioner before Principal Seat at Karachi alongwith his spouse namely Gulzar Ali the respondent No.4 with following prayer:

- "a. Direct the respondent No.1 to 4 provide protection to the petitioners against the illegal acts of the respondent No.7 to 10 who are bent upon to kill the petitioners due to their bad custom as they declared the marriage of petitioners as "Karo Kari".
- b. Direct the respondent No.5 & 6 not to harass, humiliate or raid at the house of petitioner No.2 or further restrain them not to lodge any false FIR/complaint against the petitioner No.2 and his family members on the behest of respondents No.7 to 10 and also record statement of petitioner No.1 U/S 164 Cr.P.C before this Hon'ble Court.
- C. ....."

Perusal of the memo of petition i.e C.P No.S-217 of 2017 reveals that the present petitioner is shown as respondent No.7 in that petition.

In view of such statement and documentary evidence, the purpose of instant petition is achieved and it has become infructuous to the extent of issuance of Rule Nisi. Mrs.Kalpana Devi, Asstt: A.G. states that now the best course for the petitioner is to contest the said petition at Principal Seat Karachi where he has been shown as respondent No.7.

Since through instant petition—the petitioner has sought for issuance of Rule Nisi with direction to the respondents No.1 to 3 to produce detainee Mst.Hameeda but said detainee has approached this Court at Principal Seat—by filing C.P No.S-217 of 2017 seeking protection against harassment being caused by the petitioner and others therefore, instant

(u7

petition has become infructuous. Accordingly, the same is dismissed with no order as to costs.

JUDA ANY