

ORDER-SHEET
IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH, CIRCUIT COURT LARKANA



Constt. Petition No. S- 1074 of 2017.

Date of hearing	Order with signature of Judge
13.12.2017.	

For hearing of main case.

Mr. Mohsin Ali Pathan, Advocate for petitioners.
Mr. Asif Raza Jatui, State Counsel.

~~~~~

Statement filed on behalf of respondent No.11 is taken on record; copy whereof provided to other side.

Mr. Rafique Ahmed K. Abro, Advocate files vakalatnama on behalf of respondent No.1, which is taken on record. He submits that the respondent No.1 has never caused any harassment to petitioners nor intends to do so in future and in-fact father of the petitioners had obtained some amount from him and had executed such agreement in writing and now in order to usurp the amount of respondent No.1 the petitioners have filed instant petition. On the contrary, counsel for petitioners submits that if claim of the respondent No.1 regarding amount allegedly obtained by late father of petitioners is genuine, he may approach the relevant forum.

Prima-facie, dispute between the parties appears to be of civil nature, which cannot be adjudicated upon by this Court in its writ jurisdiction. So for as harassment is concerned, the official respondents are directed to act strictly in accordance with law and not to become instrumental in the hands of either party and ensure that no harassment is caused to the petitioners. The petition stands disposed of in above terms.

JUDGE



Ansari/\*