

47

ORDER SHEET  
IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH, CIRCUIT COURT, LARKANA  
C. P. No.S-110 of 2025

|                    |                               |
|--------------------|-------------------------------|
| Date of<br>Hearing | ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF JUDGE |
|--------------------|-------------------------------|

02.05.2025.

1. For orders on office objection.
2. For hearing of Main Case.

Mr. Farooque Ahmed Gaad, advocate along with the petitioner.  
Mr. Munawar Ali Abbasi, Asst. A.G., along with ASI Khadim Hussain  
Soomro of PS A-Section, Dadu and ASI Zulfiqar Ali of PS K.N. Shah.  
Mr. Ali Anwar Kandhro, Addl. P.G.  
-----

Pursuant to process, the respondents No.4 to 6 have appeared in  
person. Statements/comments have been filed on behalf of respondents  
No.1, 2 & 3,taken on record.

The case of petitioner is that his brother, namely, Khalil Ahmed had  
married with one Mst. Fazeela, the daughter of respondent No.6, through  
Court marriage and to such effect C. P. No.S-114/2024 was filed before  
this Court, whereby they were granted protection, therefore, the private  
respondents having become annoyed are causing harassment to the  
petitioner and his family, so that they may get separation from each other.  
In support of his contention, he has annexed the copies of memo of  
petition, Nikahnama as well as order dated 26.03.2024. When confronted,  
the respondents No.4 to 6 submit that the hand of their sister Shagufta  
was promised to be given to the petitioner in marriage; however, later her  
hand was given by them to one Gul Mehran Dawach; whereas, sister of  
petitioner, namely, Shahnaz had married with respondent No.5 Bashir  
Ahmed, therefore, they are pressing this petition so that respondent No.5  
may surrender his wife by getting separation in any manner. As far as  
issue of harassment is concerned, the private respondents submit that  
they have not caused any kind of harassment to the petitioner or his



49  
family, but due to matrimonial affair/dispute, as quoted above, the petitioner is exerting illegal pressure upon them. Learned Law Officers are also of the view that the official respondents have already submitted their statements; more so, they will also direct the official respondents not to indulge in the family/matrimonial dispute between both parties and to act strictly in accordance with law.

Accordingly and in view of above, instant petition has served the purpose and is hereby disposed of along with pending application(s). The parties are however at liberty to initiate appropriate proceedings before the forums of law having jurisdiction, seeking redressal of their grievance(s), if any, if they choose so.

  
JUDGE