

55  
57

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH, CIRCUIT COURT,  
LARKANA**

**Criminal Bail No.S-573 of 2024**

**Siraj Ahmed and others**

**V/S**

**The State**

Applicants: Through Mr. Abdul Sattar Janwari,  
Advocate

Complainant: Hamid Ali Soomro

State: Through Mr. Ali Anwar Kandhro,  
Additional Prosecutor General, Sindh.

Date of Hearing: 13.01.2025

Date of Decision: 13.01.2025

**ORDER**

**Omar Sial, J.-** Applicants, Siraj Ahmed alias Sirajuddin son of Ajmal, and Kamran Ali son of Roshan are present. I have been informed that accused Imran Ali alias Imran Khan, son of Roshan Ali, has absconded. **Imran Ali's bail application is dismissed.** Siraj Ahmed and Kamran have been nominated accused in the F.I.R. No.313/2024, registered under sections 324, 353, 337-F(i), 504, 506/2, 148, 149, 114 P.P.C at Police Station Kamber City. Their earlier application seeking bail was dismissed by the Additional Sessions Judge-II, Kamber, vide order dated 28.09.2024.

2. The F.I.R. mentioned above was registered on 14.09.2024 on the complaint of Hamid Ali Soomro. Soomro recorded that a team of SEPCO was disconnecting an illegal electricity connection when the three applicants and nine or ten other persons came on the scene. Applicant Siraj was armed with a pistol, whereas the remaining two applicants had cudgels in their hands. Siraj fired his pistol, but no bullet was discharged. He then instigated the other accused persons who beat the SEPCO team.

3. I have heard the learned counsel for the applicants and the learned Additional Prosecutor General. The complainant was present in person but did not engage a counsel to represent him. My observations and findings are as follows.

4. The sections with which the applicants are charged are all bailable, and they are entitled to the concession of bail as of right. As far as section 324 P.P.C is concerned, the facts of the case, as recorded in the F.I.R., reflect that there is an allegation of an attempt to fire a pistol. However, the threat did not materialize. No medical report on record shows the extent of the injuries. No empty has been recovered from the scene of the alleged crime. A joint allegation of affray has been raised against thirteen persons. The case against the accused calls for further inquiry.

5. This is a pre-arrest bail application. Malafide is not apparent from the record. However, keeping the facts of the case in mind, no purpose will be served by denying the applicants' bail at this stage solely due to the absence of malafide when they are entitled to the concession of bail.

6. Given the above, the interim bail before arrest earlier granted to the applicants Siraj Ahmed alias Sirajuddin and Kamran Ali vide order dated 03.10.2024 is hereby confirmed on the same terms and conditions.

  
13/1/25  
**JUDGE**