

IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH AT KARACHI

Cr. Bail Application No.2459 of 2025

Present:

Mr. Justice Muhammad Iqbal Kalhoro

Mr. Justice Syed Fiaz-ul-Hassan Shah

[Nasir Khan S/o Asgher Khan Vs. The State]

Date of hearing : 17.11.2025
Date of decision : 17.11.2025
Applicant : Through Mr. Saifullah Rind, Advocate
The State : Through Mr. Ali Haider Saleem, Addl. P.G.,
Sindh.

ORDER

Muhammad Iqbal Kalhoro, J: On 07.06.2025, applicant was arrested from LGT Company Kacha Road near Sahrai Medina Madrasa by police of P.S. Gadap City, Karachi and from him chars weighing 1200 grams was recovered, hence he was booked in FIR No.443/2025 under Sections 9 (i) (3) (c) of CNS Act, 1997, P.S. Gadap City, Karachi.

2. The case of the applicant for bail is that one day prior to lodging of FIR, an application was moved by father of the applicant against the police before SSP concerned that his son had gone missing. There are certain ambiguities in dealing with the property as the road certificate shows that instead of chars, heroin was sent to the office of Chemical Examiner, video of incident has not been recorded. Learned counsel for the applicant has relied on case laws being 2025 SCMR 721 & 2023 SCMR 1712.

3. On the other hand learned Addl. Prosecutor General, Sindh has opposed this bail on the ground that there is sufficient evidence against the applicant.

4. We have considered submissions of defense counsel, but none of them could be appreciated at this stage as the same require deeper appreciation of evidence. The ground of not recording of video at the time of incident has to be considered in juxta position with the evidence to be produced by the prosecution.

Applicant is involved in an offence which is punishable for 9 to 14 years. The witnesses have prima facie supported this case in 161 Cr.P.C. statements. Hence bail application is dismissed. The trial Court is directed to expedite the trial and conclude it as soon as possible.

5. The bail application is disposed of accordingly. The observations made hereinabove are tentative in nature and would not prejudice case of either party at trial.

JUDGE

JUDGE

M. Khan