IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH, BENCH AT SUKKUR

Cr. Bail Application No. S-414 of 2025

Applicants : 1. Faheem Ali son of Khuda Bux.

2. Khuda Bux son of Nihal.

3. Muhammad Mithal s/o Nihal Khan

All by caste Samtia,

Through Mr. Allah Wassayo Ujjan Advocate

Complainant : Photo son of Muhammad Bux, Samtio

Through Mr. Sajid Hussain Bhatti, Advocate

The State : Through Mr. Khalil Ahmed Maitlo, DPG

Date of Hearing : 29.09.2025 Date of Decision : 29.09.2025

ORDER

KHALID HUSSAIN SHAHANI, J.— The applicants before this Court seek pre-arrest bail in a case bearing crime No.108/2024 registered for offences under Sections 337A(i), 337F(i), 504, 506/2, 147, 148, and 149 PPC at Police Station Sobhodero, District Khairpur. The investigation report under Section 173 Cr.P.C. further incorporates Sections 337F(v), 337A(i), 506/2, 504, 147, 148, 149, and 337L(ii) PPC. It is pertinent to note that the applicants' earlier bail application was declined by learned IInd Additional Sessions Judge, Khairpur, vide order dated 13.05.2025.

2. Briefly, the prosecution's case in the FIR lodged on 29.09.2024 discloses that on 18.09.2024, at about 2000 hours, the complainant Photo along with his family members was present near a cattle pond when the accused persons, armed with a pistol, lathis, and a hatchet, approached and issued threats compelling silence. The complainant's son was assaulted with a butt blow, the complainant himself was struck with a lathi, and Muhammad Mithal inflicted hatchet wounds on another family member. The commotion attracted nearby residents, following which the accused departed while issuing threats and verbally abusing bystanders. These allegations culminated in the registration of the present case.

- 3. Counsel for the applicants submits that the parties have amicably settled their differences outside the Court, with a formal compromise application to be forthcoming in the trial court. He accordingly prays that the interim pre-arrest bail previously granted may be confirmed.
- 4. Upon inquiry, both learned counsel for the complainant and the Deputy Prosecutor General, representing the State, confirm the compromise has been effected and raise no objection to the confirmation of the interim bail.
- 5. Considering that all the offences charged are bailable in nature and a mutual compromise has been reached, the applicants have sufficiently demonstrated grounds warranting further inquiry rather than custodial proceedings at this stage. The interim pre-arrest bail granted on 19.05.2025 is therefore confirmed on the same terms and conditions, with the explicit direction that the applicants shall cooperate fully with the investigation and attend the trial as required.

JUDGE