

IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH AT KARACHI

Present

Mr. Justice Dr. Syed Fiaz ul Hassan Shah

Criminal Bail Application No.1636 of 2025

Applicant : Wahid Ali S/o Asmat Ali
through Ms. Marina Soomro & Mr.
Muhammad Anees, Advocates

Respondent : The State
through Mr. Muhammad Noonari, DPG
a/w I.O./SIP Abdul Majeed of PS City
Court

Date of hearing : 16.09.2025

Date of order : 16.09.2025

ORDER

Dr. Syed Fiaz Ul Hassan Shah, J. - Through this Bail Application, applicant/accused seeks post-arrest bail in Crime No.148/2025 for offence under Sections 419, 420, 468, 471, 34 PPC registered at PS City Court. His bail plea has been declined by the learned Addl. Sessions Judge-IX, Karachi South [Trial Court] vide order dated 14.06.2025.

2. Brief facts of the case are that accused Wahid submitted a fake attorney on behalf of one Liaquat Ali Turk before the Court of ADJ-V East; as such, the instant FIR was lodged by the Reader of the said Court.

3. Learned counsel for the applicant contends that the applicant has been falsely implicated and no motive has been described by the prosecution and the allegation is yet to be proved by the trial Court when the prosecution will present the evidence.

4. On the other hand, learned DPG duly assisted by the I.O. states that the applicant has given a copy of false CNIC before the Additional District Sessions Judge, Karachi East; however, he submits that challan has been submitted before the trial Court and now the matter is fixed for framing of charge against the applicant.

5. I have heard the learned counsel for the parties and with their able assistance perused the record.

6. The foremost important thing to invoke the ingredients of Sections 419, 468 or 471 PPC that to present the false and forged documents; however, the I.O. has admitted that only photocopy of the CNIC in the name of Liaquat Ali Turk has been recovered. Learned DPG has also candidly stated that original document has not been recovered from the accused. I have seen that in Column No.5 of the challan/charge sheet, the only recovered document is "Photocopy of the CNIC" which makes the case of prosecution for further inquiry in the absence of original documents allegedly instrumentalize for forgery and its forged used. The offences fall in non-prohibitory clauses. It is admitted position that the challan has been submitted before the trial Court and the accused is no more required for further investigation. The prosecution has not shown apprehension that the Applicant if granted bail will damage the case of prosecution or that he would intimidate or influence the prosecution witnesses.

7. In view of the above, the applicant is entitled for concession of bail. Accordingly, **applicant Wahid Ali S/o Asmat Ali** is granted post-arrest bail subject to furnishing solvent surety in the

sum of Rs.50,000/- [rupees fifty thousand] and P.R. bond in the like amount to the satisfaction of the learned trial Court.

8. Needless to say that the observations made hereinabove are tentative in nature and would not influence the learned trial Court while deciding the case of the applicant on merits.

JUDGE

Kamran/PS