ORDER SHEET IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH, KARACHI

Date Order with signature of the Judge

Present:

Mr. Justice Muhammad Iqbal Kalhoro. Mr. Justice Muhammad Abdul Rehman.

Cr. Bail Appl. No.2026 of 2025

Muhammad Mehmood @ Sanata		Applicant
	Vs.	
The State		Respondent.

18.09.2025.

Mr. Muhammad Lateefuddin advocate for applicant.

Mr. Tahir Hussain, APG.

ORDER

=

MUHAMMAD IQBAL KALHORO J: Applicant Muhammad Mehmood @ Sanata is seeking bail in crime No.616/2011 U/s 302,324, 147,149, 427 PPC registered at P.S. KIA, Karachi.

- 2. Complainant Saeedullah Jan has alleged in FIR that he on 22.06.2011 at 1745 hours along with his brother Zar Khan had come to see one Umar Bhai at street No.A-28, Area Qayumabad Karachi where 25/30 people duly armed with KK and TT pistols arrived and started indiscriminate firing upon them, besides demolishing walls erected on the said plot. In the firing his brother Zar Khan, PWs Fazal-ur-Rehman, Abdul Malik and Imran got injured, out of them his brother namely Zar Khan died in Liaquat National Hospital, where he was taken to for treatment. He reported this incident to the police on 23.06.2011 at about 0230 hours against unknown accused. Initially after due investigation, the case was disposed of under "A" Class. However, co-accused Raeesuddin was arrested on 27.03.2018 and he made a judicial confession on 16.4.2018 admitting commission of this crime by him and his accomplices who, among others, include applicant. On the basis thereof applicant Muhammad Mahmood was arrested on 22.10.2018, co-accused Umair Javed on 14.05.2018 and Muhammad Asif on 13.05.2018."
- 3. Earlier to this, applicant had filed bail applications No.1330/2019 and 737 of 2025, which were dismissed vide orders dated 14.11.2019 and 26.05.2025 and the trial court was directed to examine the witnesses. Witnesses have been examined; they have prima facie identified the applicant to be the accused. The case of the applicant is that in the identification parade, only one accused

2

Raeesuddin @ Raees Mama was identified. However, we have seen that applicant was arrested afterwards and was not before the Magistrate at the time of identification parade. Even otherwise this argument cannot be appreciated as 11 witnesses have been examined and some of them prima facie have identified the applicant/accused in the court. It is settled that the identification in the court is as good as identification before the Magistrate in identification parade. Therefore, we dismiss this application and direct the trial court to examine remaining witnesses without fail in four months and conclude the trial and announce judgment. The trial court shall submit compliance report to this court through MIT-II. If compliance is not made, the trial court shall furnish reasons which shall be put up on record for a perusal in chamber.

The Cr. Bail Application stands disposed of.

JUDGE

JUDGE