

ORDER SHEET
IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH, CIRCUIT COURT LARKANA
Criminal Revision No.S-76 of 2024
(Hakim Ali Chandio v/s. Muhammad Nawaz Bhutto & others)

DATE	ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF JUDGE
------	-------------------------------

1. For orders on office objections at flag "A".
2. For orders on maintainability of main case.

15.09.2025

Mr. Faiz Muhammad Larik, Advocate a/w Applicant.

ORDER

Nisar Ahmed Bhanbhro, J. Through this Revision Application, the applicant has challenged the order dated 11.11.2024, passed by learned Special Judge, Anti-Corruption (Provincial), Larkana, whereby the complaint filed by the applicant was dismissed.

2. The learned Trial Court in the impugned Order has observed that the complainant and his witnesses did not mention the date, time and place of payment of bribe to the proposed accused, however, the matter was referred for enquiry to the Anti-Corruption Establishment Department and the proposed accused Sultan Ahmed produced record that he has purchased the disputed land under Survey Nos.58/1 and 58/2, Deh Wah Nabi Bux, Taluka Larkana from Wazir Ali Chandio, Mst. Imamzadi and Mst. Pathani through registered Sale Deed and possession was given to him. It also transpires from the record that the applicant had filed a Complaint before the Court of Sessions Judge, Larkana, which too was dismissed.

3. Apparently, the dispute between the parties is regarding landed property, which was owned by the predecessor-in-interest of the applicant and purchased by the proposed accused through registered Sale Deed. The matter can be resolved by the adjudication before the appropriate forum that whether the alleged Sale Deed was result of any fraud or the land was transferred in the name of proposed accused by misuse of authority by the official respondents. As such the forum available to the applicant is to get adjudication of his rights as to the title from the Civil Court. No illegality or infirmity has been pointed out in the impugned order, therefore, this Revision Application fails and is hereby dismissed in *limine* with no order as to costs. However, the applicant is at liberty to pursue his remedy before the appropriate forum, if so advised.

Judge