

**ORDER SHEET**  
IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH, CIRCUIT COURT, LARKANA  
**Criminal Bail Application No. S-277 of 2025**

Applicant : Anwar Ali son of Mehboob Ali Kori, through  
Mr. Abid @ Abdul Qadir Abro, Advocate

Complainant. : Ali Asghar son of Allah Dino, through  
Mr. Ghulam Akbar Lashari, Advocate

Respondent :  
The State  
Through Mr. Aitbar Ali Bullo, Deputy  
Prosecutor General, Sindh along with ASI  
Ghulam Nabi Mirani of P.S Rehmatpur.

Date of Hearing : 20.10.2025

Date of Order : 20.10.2025

**ORDER**

AMJAD ALI SAHITO, I-- Through this Bail Application, the applicant/accused Anwar Ali son of Mehboob Ali seeks pre-arrest bail in Crime No.03 of 2025 registered with Police Station Rehmatpur, for the offence under Sections 336, 337-L(i), (ii), 506 & 34 PPC, after his bail plea has been declined by the learned 1<sup>st</sup> Addl. Sessions Judge/MCTC, Larkana, vide order dated 30.01.2025.

2. The details and particulars of the FIR are already available in the bail application and FIR, same could be gathered from the copy of FIR attached with such application, hence, needs not to reproduce the same hereunder.

3. Per learned counsel for the applicant, the FIR is delayed for about 8 / 9 months for which no plausible explanation has been furnished by the prosecution; that there is no evidence on the record to connect the applicant / accused with the commission of alleged offence as he has private witnesses; that the I.O of the case is present and confirms that the applicant has no contact with Wapda officials and as such, he has not committed the offence. Lastly, he prays for confirmation of bail.

4. On the other hand, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the complainant vehemently opposes the grant of bail.

5. Learned Deputy P.G, Sindh also half-heartedly opposes the grant of bail; however, admits that the FIR is delayed for 09 months.

6. Heard arguments of the parties and perused the material available on record.

7. From perusal of the record, it reflects that the FIR is delayed for about 09 months and no plausible explanation has been furnished by the complainant. The complainant in the FIR has admitted that without obtaining any police letter, he has got medical treatment of the injured person. Furthermore, at the most role assigned against the applicant / accused is that due to his negligence, the brother of complainant has received injuries but allegedly no evidence has been brought on record. Per learned counsel, the applicant has also pleaded malafide on the part of complainant that without assigning any reason, a private person has been implicated, otherwise he has no role.

8. In view of the above, learned counsel for the applicant/ has made out a case for grant of bail in terms of subsection 2 of Section 497 Cr.P.C. Resultantly, the instant bail application is **allowed** and the interim pre-arrest bail granted by this Court to the applicant/accused vide order dated 29.05.2025 is hereby **confirmed** on same terms and conditions.

9. Needless to mention here that the observations made hereinabove are tentative in nature and would not influence the learned trial Court while deciding the case of the applicant on merits.

**JUDGE**