

**ORDER SHEET**  
IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH, CIRCUIT COURT, LARKANA  
**Criminal Bail Application No. S-257 of 2025**

Applicant : Ali Raza @ Ali son of Imdad Butto, through  
Mr. Muhammad Sharif Ghanghro, Advocate

Respondent : The State  
Through Mr. Aitbar Ali Bullo, Deputy  
Prosecutor General, Sindh along with SIP/I.O  
Abdul Haq of P.S Ratodero.

Date of Hearing : 16.10.2025

Date of Order : 16.10.2025

**ORDER**

AMJAD ALI SAHITO, I-- Through this Bail Application, the applicant/accused Ali Raza @ Ali pre-arrest bail in Crime No.58 of 2025 registered with Police Station Ratodero, District Larkana, for the offence under Sections 392, 337-H(ii) & 34 PPC, after his bail plea has been declined by the learned Addl. Sessions Judge, Ratodero, vide order dated 07.05.2025.

2. The details and particulars of the FIR are already available in the bail application and FIR, same could be gathered from the copy of FIR attached with such application, hence, needs not to reproduce the same hereunder.

3. Per learned counsel for the applicant, the applicant is innocent and has falsely been implicated in this case; recovery was effected from the co-accused who has also been arrested; that the applicant is not a previous offender. Lastly, he prays for confirmation of bail.

4. On the other hand, learned Deputy P.G, Sindh submits that the applicant has been nominated in the FIR with specific role that he robbed a mobile phone from PS Athar Ali and Rs.5000/- from the complainant;

that there is no any enmity between the complainant and the accused persons; hence, he is not entitled for the concession of bail.

5. Heard arguments of the parties and perused the material available on record.

6. From perusal of record, it reflects that name of the applicant transpires in the FIR; however, the applicant along with co-accused has robbed a one mobile phone and cash Rs.2000/- from PW Abdullah Shah, one mobile phone and cash Rs.17000/- from PS Ibraheem Shah, one mobile phone and cash Rs.40000/- from PW Athar Ali and cash Rs.5000/- from the complainant. Whereas, in robbery cases, all the accused persons are responsible for committing the offence, therefore, plea raised by learned counsel for the applicant that there is no specific allegation against the applicant has no value in the eyes of law. No enmity or animosity has been pointed out by learned counsel for the applicant/accused to believe his false implication.

7. I.O of the case is present in Court and states that due to previous bail, he could not interrogate the accused person properly and no recovery has been effected from him, as such, custody of the applicant/ accused may be handed over to him to recover the robbed mobile phones as well as other articles.

8. In view of the above, instant Criminal Bail Application is hereby **dismissed**; ad-interim bail granted earlier vide order dated 16.05.2025 is hereby recalled. Since, the I.O of the case requests custody of the accused, the custody of the applicant/accused is handed over to the I.O with directions that after obtaining remand from the concerned Magistrate, properly investigate the matter and recover the alleged robbed articles from the accused, as stated by the complainant.

**JUDGE**