ORDER SHEET

IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH AT KARACHI

C.P No.D-4795 of 2025

[Iftikhar Ahmed v. P.O Sindh and others]

DATE ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF JUDGE(S).

Before;

Mr. Justice Yousuf Ali Sayeed; Mr. Justice Abdul Hamid Bhurgri.

- 1. For orders on MA No.20003/25 (U/A).
- 2. For orders on office objections No.1 & 2.
- 3. For orders on MA No.20004/25.
- 4. For orders on MA No.20005/25.
- 5. For hearing of main case.

Date of hearing: 03.10.2025

Mr. Altaf Hussain, Advocate for the petitioner.

Abdul Hamid Bhurgri, J.- The petitioner, claiming to be duly authorized by the Pakistan Marble Industries Association vide resolution dated 10.10.2024, has filed the present petition seeking enforcement of alleged rights in respect of land purportedly allotted for dumping of marble waste in Manghopir, District West, Karachi.

.-.-.-.-.-.-.-.-.-.-.-.-.-.-.

.-.-.-.-.

- 2. It is contended that 50 acres of land were initially allotted by the Department of Mines and Minerals through notification dated 22.10.2021. Thereafter, the petitioner applied for additional land for dumping purposes, pursuant to which 15 acres were further allocated by the said department. The Deputy Commissioner, West Karachi, formally regularized the said 15 acres for dumping purposes vide order dated 14.04.2022. The petitioner further asserts that despite the issuance of a No Objection Certificate (NOC) dated 18.02.2025 by Respondent No.2 for additional land, no further action has been taken by the concerned authorities. Allegations of harassment and threats by official respondents at the behest of private parties have also been made.
- 3. We have heard learned counsel for the petitioner and perused the record. The material placed before us clearly shows that the petitioner's lawful entitlement stands confined to 15 acres of land as per the order dated 14.04.2022. There is no documentary evidence to support the claim of threats or unlawful interference by the official respondents. Nor is there any cause of action disclosed justifying invocation of the constitutional jurisdiction of this Court. It is well settled that no writ lies on the basis of apprehension alone.

4. In view of the above, the petition being misconceived and devoid of merit, is hereby *dismissed* in *limine* along with listed applications.

JUDGE

JUDGE

<u>Ayaz Gul</u>